

## Appendix C- Copy of representation from RAs

## Licensing Consultation

To: Licensing Officer

From: Enforcement Response Officer (Noise)

Name of Officer preparing representation: George Roberts

cc: Team Leader Enforcement Response, Derek Pearce

Our Reference: WK/000368980

Date: 30th November 2016

Premises: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN

Type of application: Review

---

I would like to confirm that I have considered the above proposal with regard to the prevention of public nuisance on behalf of the Enforcement Response (Noise) Team & would like to make representations to the Application

The operating schedule does not address the prevention of public nuisance from:

- Noise generated by patrons in external areas of the premises

The beer garden is not being used as a quiet shisha smoking area and is instead being used as an extension of the main premises

The current operating hours are inappropriate due to the close proximity of residential dwellings

Supporting Information

Enforcement Response team  
Enforcement Service, Lee Valley Technopark, Ashley Road, Tottenham, London N17 9LN  
Tel: 020 8489 1284 Email: mailbox.noiseteampublic@haringey.gov.uk  
www.haringey.gov.uk



Director Urban Environment Niall Bolger  
Head of Enforcement Robin Payne

Haringey Council

Date: 04/12/09 -0025483

To request a large print or translated copy call 020 8489 1284

Environmental Protection Act 1990: Noise Nuisance

Address:

Duke of Edinburgh Pub  
83 Mayes Road N22

Type of noise:

Music

You have received this letter because a Haringey Council Noise Enforcement officer has witnessed noise from your premises.

The Council has a duty to investigate complaints regarding disturbance caused by noise.

An investigation, in response to a complaint, carried out on 31/12/09 at 0025 hours, confirmed that noise coming from your premises was excessive.

The Council does not wish to restrict any private and reasonable activities. However, it does have a duty to ensure that all residents can enjoy a reasonable degree of privacy and freedom from disturbance by noise within their own homes.

The Council must serve an Abatement Notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in cases of persistent or severe noise nuisance. The effect of an Abatement Notice is to prohibit noise nuisance occurring or recurring, and it is an offence not to comply with such a Notice for which the maximum penalty on conviction is £5,000 (£20,000 in respect of commercial premises). It is requested that you co-operate by keeping the volume of sound produced in your premises at levels that do not cause noise nuisance in order that formal action by the Council does not become necessary.

Enforcement Response team




2005-2008  
Getting Closer to Communities



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

**Enforcement Response – Frontline Services**  
Units 271-272, Lee Valley Technopark, Ashley Road, Tottenham, London N17 9LN  
Tel: 020 8489 1000  
Fax: 020 8489 5133  
www.haringey.gov.uk



Director of Urban Environment - Niall Bolger  
Assistant Director - Frontline Services – Beverley Taylor

**Haringey Council**

Duke of Edinburgh,  
83, Mayes Road,  
Wood Green,  
London,  
N22 6TN

Your ref: UE/ENF/WK/000128730

Date: 21st February 2010

Contact: Enforcement Response  
Team

020 8489 1000

Email: enforcement.response  
@haringey.gov.uk

**Warning Letter: Licensing Act 2003**

Address Duke of Edinburgh, 83, Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22  
6TN

It has been identified that the above premises on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2010 at 00.30am were being used for regulated entertainment which is not permitted under any current license. You are warned that this practice must cease. Regulated entertainment includes but is not restricted to playing recorded music above background level, live music and karaoke.

It is an offence under section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003 if a person

- (a) carries on or attempts to carry on a licensable activity on or from any premises otherwise than under and in accordance with an authorisation or
- (b) he knowingly allows a licensable activity to be carried on.

You should familiarise yourself with the activities permitted under any current License & such unauthorised use of the premises must cease immediately. No further warnings will be issued. This Authority may seek to prosecute if future unauthorised activity is identified. It is in your own interest to ensure that you are complying with the licensing laws. If any of the above is unclear or you require further clarification please contact the Licensing Lead Officer on 020 8489 8232.

Yours sincerely

C Buckle  
Enforcement Response Team

**Enforcement Response – Frontline Services**

Units 271-272, Lee Valley Technopark, Ashley Road, Tottenham, London N17 9LN

Tel: 020 8489 1000

Fax: 020 8489 5133

www.haringey.gov.uk



Director of Urban Environment - Niall Bolger  
Assistant Director - Frontline Services – Beverley Taylor

**Haringey Council**

Punch Taverns Plc  
Jubilee House  
Second Avenue  
Burton Upon Trent  
Staffordshire  
DE14 2WF

Your ref: UE/ENF/WK/000128730

Date: 21st February 2010

Contact: Enforcement Response  
Team

020 8489 1000

Email: enforcement.response  
@haringey.gov.uk

**Warning Letter: Licensing Act 2003**

Address Duke of Edinburgh, 83, Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22  
6TN

It has been identified that the above premises on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2010 at 00.30am were being used for regulated entertainment which is not permitted under any current license. You are warned that this practice must cease. Regulated entertainment includes but is not restricted to playing recorded music above background level, live music and karaoke.

It is an offence under section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003 if a person

- (a) carries on or attempts to carry on a licensable activity on or from any premises otherwise than under and in accordance with an authorisation or
- (b) he knowingly allows a licensable activity to be carried on.

You should familiarise yourself with the activities permitted under any current License & such unauthorised use of the premises must cease immediately. No further warnings will be issued. This Authority may seek to prosecute if future unauthorised activity is identified. It is in your own interest to ensure that you are complying with the licensing laws. If any of the above is unclear or you require further clarification please contact the Licensing Lead Officer on 020 8489 8232.

Yours sincerely

C Buckle  
Enforcement Response Team

c.c to Zafier Tarim (DPS)



Mr Mahmut Ozel  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: UE/ENF WK/000128730  
Date: 17th September 2010  
Contact: Enforcement Response Team  
020 8489 1000  
Email: enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk

Re: Environmental Protection Act 1990 - Section 80 - Noise Nuisance

Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN

Type of noise: Loud Music

The Council has a duty to investigate complaints regarding disturbance caused by excessive noise. Investigation, in response to a complaint made on 15/9/10 at 2244 hrs, has showed that noise coming from your premises was excessive.

The Council does not wish to restrict any private and reasonable activities. However, it does have a duty to ensure that all residents can enjoy a reasonable degree of privacy and freedom from disturbance by noise within their own homes.

The Council must serve a Noise Abatement Notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in cases of persistent or severe noise nuisance. The effect of a Noise Abatement Notice is to prohibit noise nuisance occurring or recurring, and it is an offence not to comply with such a Notice for which the maximum penalty on conviction is £20,000 in respect of commercial premises. Fixed penalty notices of £400 for commercial premises may be considered in appropriate circumstances.

We hope that you will co-operate in this matter by keeping the volume of sound produced in your premises at levels that do not cause noise nuisance in the future, and that formal action by the Council does not become necessary.

Yours faithfully,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Punch Taverns Plc.



Gokhan Yildirim  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: UE/ENF WK/000128730  
Date: 17th September 2010  
Contact: Enforcement Response Team  
020 8489 1000  
Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Re: Environmental Protection Act 1990 - Section 80 - Noise Nuisance  
Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN

Type of noise: Loud Music

The Council has a duty to investigate complaints regarding disturbance caused by excessive noise. Investigation, in response to a complaint made on 15/9/10 at 2244 hrs, has showed that noise coming from your premises was excessive.

The Council does not wish to restrict any private and reasonable activities. However, it does have a duty to ensure that all residents can enjoy a reasonable degree of privacy and freedom from disturbance by noise within their own homes.

The Council must serve a Noise Abatement Notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in cases of persistent or severe noise nuisance. The effect of a Noise Abatement Notice is to prohibit noise nuisance occurring or recurring, and it is an offence not to comply with such a Notice for which the maximum penalty on conviction is £20,000 in respect of commercial premises. Fixed penalty notices of £400 for commercial premises may be considered in appropriate circumstances.


We hope that you will co-operate in this matter by keeping the volume of sound produced in your premises at levels that do not cause noise nuisance in the future, and that formal action by the Council does not become necessary.

Yours faithfully,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Punch Taverns Plc.





The Management  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: UE/ENF WK/000128730  
Date: 16th September 2010  
Contact: Enforcement Response Team  
020 8489 1000  
Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Re: Environmental Protection Act 1990 - Section 80 - Noise Nuisance  
Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
Type of noise: Loud Music

The Council has a duty to investigate complaints regarding disturbance caused by excessive noise. Investigation, in response to a complaint made on 15/9/10 at 2244 hrs, has showed that noise coming from your premises was excessive.

The Council does not wish to restrict any private and reasonable activities. However, it does have a duty to ensure that all residents can enjoy a reasonable degree of privacy and freedom from disturbance by noise within their own homes.

The Council must serve a Noise Abatement Notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in cases of persistent or severe noise nuisance. The effect of a Noise Abatement Notice is to prohibit noise nuisance occurring or recurring, and it is an offence not to comply with such a Notice for which the maximum penalty on conviction is £20,000 in respect of commercial premises. Fixed penalty notices of £400 for commercial premises may be considered in appropriate circumstances.

We hope that you will co-operate in this matter by keeping the volume of sound produced in your premises at levels that do not cause noise nuisance in the future, and that formal action by the Council does not become necessary.

Yours faithfully,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Punch Taverns Plc.



Punch Taverns Plc  
Jubilee House  
Second Avenue  
Burton Upon Trent  
Staffordshire  
DE14 2WF

Date: 17th September 2010

Your ref:

Our ref: UE/ENFWK/000170515

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Sir

Re: Licensing Offences: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
London Borough of Haringey v Yourself

The facts of the alleged offence reported to me by the case officer namely that on 15<sup>th</sup> September at 2340 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN was supplying alcohol and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.


Having carefully considered these facts and the circumstances surrounding the alleged offence I have concluded that there are sufficient grounds to institute legal proceedings against you under the above mentioned Act.

However, on this occasion I am proposing to issue a Simple Caution in respect of the allegation. This course of action is subject to your agreement and admission of the alleged offence.

I must advise you that should you agree to accept a Simple Caution, a record will be kept at this office. The record of a Simple caution may influence any subsequent decision should you be found to be infringing the law in the future.

A Simple Caution is not a form of sentence (which only a court can impose), nor is it a criminal conviction. It is however an admission of guilt. The Simple Caution may also be cited should a Court subsequently find you guilty of an offence.

If you are in agreement with the proposed course of action I would be obliged if you will contact us by the 24<sup>th</sup> September 2010 to arrange a mutual time for you to come into this office so the Simple Caution can be administered. I look forward to hearing from you.



Mr Mahmut Ozel  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Date: 17th September 2010  
Your ref:  
Our ref: UE/ENFWK/000170515  
Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Mr Ozel

Re: Licensing Offences: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
London Borough of Haringey v Yourself

The facts of the alleged offence reported to me by the case officer namely that on 15<sup>th</sup> September at 2340 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN was supplying alcohol and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

Having carefully considered these facts and the circumstances surrounding the alleged offence I have concluded that there are sufficient grounds to institute legal proceedings against you under the above mentioned Act.

However, on this occasion I am proposing to issue a Simple Caution in respect of the allegation. This course of action is subject to your agreement and admission of the alleged offence.

I must advise you that should you agree to accept a Simple Caution, a record will be kept at this office. The record of a Simple caution may influence any subsequent decision should you be found to be infringing the law in the future.

A Simple Caution is not a form of sentence (which only a court can impose), nor is it a criminal conviction. It is however an admission of guilt. The Simple Caution may also be cited should a Court subsequently find you guilty of an offence.

If you are in agreement with the proposed course of action I would be obliged if you will contact us by the 24<sup>th</sup> September 2010 to arrange a mutual time for you to come into this office so the Simple Caution can be administered. I look forward to hearing from you.



Mr Gokhan Yildirim  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Date: 17th September 2010

Your ref:

Our ref: UE/ENFWK/000170515

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Mr Yildirim

Re: Licensing Offences: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
London Borough of Haringey v Yourself

The facts of the alleged offence reported to me by the case officer namely that on 15<sup>th</sup> September at 2340 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN was supplying alcohol and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

Having carefully considered these facts and the circumstances surrounding the alleged offence I have concluded that there are sufficient grounds to institute legal proceedings against you under the above mentioned Act.

However, on this occasion I am proposing to issue a Simple Caution in respect of the allegation. This course of action is subject to your agreement and admission of the alleged offence.

I must advise you that should you agree to accept a Simple Caution, a record will be kept at this office. The record of a Simple caution may influence any subsequent decision should you be found to be infringing the law in the future.

A Simple Caution is not a form of sentence (which only a court can impose), nor is it a criminal conviction. It is however an admission of guilt. The Simple Caution may also be cited should a Court subsequently find you guilty of an offence.

If you are in agreement with the proposed course of action I would be obliged if you will contact us by the 24<sup>th</sup> September 2010 to arrange a mutual time for you to come into this office so the Simple Caution can be administered. I look forward to hearing from you.

Haringey Council

Ref: WK170515  
Urban Environment  
Enforcement Response  
Lea Valley Technopark,  
Ashey Road  
London  
N17 9LN

Record of Simple Caution


Part 1: Identity of Person Cautioned

Surname: Yildirim  
First Name: Gokhan  
Date of Birth: 24/1/73  
Occupation: Public House Manager


Name & Address of and nature of business if offence in connection with a business:  
Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 8JN.

Part 2: Persons Present

Team Leader Name: Derek Pearce


Sign: 


Appellant Name: Gokhan Yildirim

Sign:  MAHMUT OKAN OZEL

Witness

Officer Name: George Roberts

Sign: 

  
M. Sheridan  
(Power)

Simple Caution template May 2009

**Part 3: Details of Offence(S)**

On 15<sup>th</sup> September at 23:40 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, N22 6TN was ~~supplying alcohol~~ and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

**Part 4: Certificate as to Evidence & Public Interest Considerations**

I certify that I am satisfied that there is evidence of the offender's guilt sufficient to give a realistic prospect of conviction of the offence specified in Part 3 hereof. I am further satisfied that the administration of a caution is in the public interest by reason of:-

The offender's attitude towards the offence including practical expression of regret.


Signed



Senior Officer Name: Derek Pearce  
Title: Team Leader

Officer Name George Roberts  
Title: Enforcement Officer

Signed



**Part 5: Admission of Offence & Consent to Caution**

The significance of the caution has been explained to me in the presence of the persons named in Part 2 hereof.

I understand that I have the right to take independent legal advice before I sign or say anything and that I do not have to sign or say anything unless I wish to do so.

I understand that if I am cautioned in respect of the offence specified in Part 3 hereof, a record will be kept of the caution and that the fact of a previous caution may influence the decision whether or not to prosecute if I should offend again and that the caution may be cited if I am subsequently found guilty of an offence by a Court.

I admit the offences specified in part 3 hereof and consent to be formally cautioned.

Signed Appellant



Dated: 14<sup>th</sup> October 2010

Simple Caution template May 2009

**Part 6: Certificate as to Caution**

On 14<sup>th</sup> October 2010 at 1455 hours I cautioned the person named in Part 1 hereof in respect of the offence specified in Part 3 hereof.

Senior Officer name: Derek Pearce  
Title: Team Leader

Signed



Dated: 14<sup>th</sup> October 2010

Ethnic Origin

In view of the importance of ensuring that every cautioning decision is taken on the basis of fair and equal treatment irrespective of ethnic origin, the Head of Legal Services maintains a record of the ethnic origin of persons cautioned in order that decisions may be monitored. Please specify Ethnic Origin (not Nationality) as UK European, Greek-Cypriot, Turkish-Cypriot, African, Caribbean/West Indian, Asian, Irish or Other (specify):

Ethnic Origin .....

**Enforcement Response**

Units 271-272, Lee Valley Technopark,  
Ashley Road, Tottenham, London N17 9LN  
Tel: 020 8489 1000  
Fax: 020 8489 5133  
[www.haringey.gov.uk/noise](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/noise)



Group Manager Regulatory Services - Eubert Malcolm

**Haringey Council**

Punch Taverns Plc  
Jubilee House  
Second Avenue  
Burton Upon Trent  
Staffordshire  
DE14 2WF

Our ref: P&S/RS WK/000128730

Date: 27th March 2013

Contact: Enforcement Response  
Team

Tel: 020 8489 1000

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Warning Letter: Licensing Act 2003

Address: Duke of Edinburgh, Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood  
Green, London, N22 6TN

I made a visit to the above premises on the 26<sup>th</sup> March 2013 and the following  
was noted which is required under the conditions of the licence:

Signs shall be displayed in the rear beer garden requesting patrons to  
recognise the residential nature of the area and conduct their behaviour  
accordingly. The management must reserve the right to ask patrons to move  
inside the premises or leave if it is felt that they could be disturbing  
neighbours.

No such signs were displayed when I visited. The only sign I saw was  
displayed on one of the doors at the front entrance, and was not visible from  
within the bar.

Please note that this is a breach of the conditions on your licence and may  
result in enforcement action being taken.

You are therefore instructed to address these issues urgently, and if not  
compliant within 2 weeks from the date of this letter we will consider  
enforcement action or a review of your licence.

If you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact me on the above  
details.

You should familiarise yourself with the activities permitted under any current  
License & such unauthorised use of the premises must cease immediately.

This Authority may seek to prosecute if this or future unauthorised activity is  
identified. It is in your own interest to ensure that you are complying with the  
licensing laws.



**Enforcement Response team**

Level 6, Alexandra House, 10 Station Road, Wood Green, London N22 7TR

Tel: 020 8489 1000

Fax: 020 8489 5133

[www.haringey.gov.uk/noise](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/noise)



Group Manager Regulatory Services - Eubert Malcolm

**Haringey** Council

Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: ES/RS WK/000326934

Date: 24th July 2015

Contact: Enforcement Response Team

Tel: 020 8489 1335

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Re: Environmental Protection Act 1990 - Section 80 - Noise Nuisance  
Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN

Type of noise: Loud music

The Council has a duty to investigate complaints regarding disturbance caused by excessive noise.

Investigation, in response to a complaint, has showed that noise coming from your premises was excessive.

The Council does not wish to restrict any private and reasonable activities. However, it does have a duty to ensure that all residents can enjoy a reasonable degree of privacy and freedom from disturbance by noise within their own homes.

The Council must serve a Noise Abatement Notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in cases of persistent or severe noise which in their assessment amounts to a nuisance.

The effect of a Noise Abatement Notice is to prohibit noise nuisance occurring or recurring, and it is an offence not to comply with such a Notice for which the maximum penalty on conviction is £5,000 for domestic premises. Fixed penalty notices of £100 for domestic premises may be considered in appropriate circumstances.

We hope that you will co-operate in this matter by keeping the volume of sound produced in your premises at levels that do not cause noise nuisance in the future, and that formal action by the Council does not become necessary.

Yours faithfully,

C Buckle  
Enforcement Response Team



Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: P&S/RS WK/000326934  
Date: 24th July 2015  
Contact: Enforcement Response  
Team  
Tel: 020 8489 1335  
Email: enforcement.response  
@haringey.gov.uk

Warning Letter: Licensing Act 2003  
Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22  
6TN

Following on from the visit I made on 19<sup>th</sup> July 2015 at 01.35am the following  
was noted which is required under the conditions of the licence:

#### **Prevention of nuisance from noise/vibration**

**All doors and windows will remain closed, except for access to or egress from the premises, during the licensed regulated entertainment activities or in any event after 11pm.** The entrance door will be fitted with a self-closing device and staff required to ensure that it is not propped open. A member of staff shall be made responsible to ensure the door is opened for as brief a period as possible. Where necessary adequate and suitable mechanical ventilation will be provided to public areas.

Please note that this is a breach of the conditions on your licence and may result in enforcement action being taken.

You are therefore instructed to address these issues urgently, and if not compliant within 2 weeks from the date of this letter we will consider enforcement action or a review of your licence.

If you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact me on the above details.

You should familiarise yourself with the activities permitted under any current License & such unauthorised use of the premises must cease immediately.

We expect full compliance with all conditions on the premises license in future.

## Commercial & Operations

Regulatory Services Manager: Gavin Douglas



Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: P&S/RS WK/000326934  
Date: 15th July 2016  
Contact: Enforcement Response  
Team

Warning Letter: Licensing Act 2003  
Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN

Following on from the visit I made on 5<sup>th</sup> June 2016 at 01.50am and 10<sup>th</sup> July 2016 at 01.20am the follow was noted which is required under the conditions of the licence:

### **Prevention of nuisance from noise/vibration**

All doors and windows will remain closed, except for access to or egress from the premises, during the licensed regulated entertainment activities or in any event after 11pm. The entrance door will be fitted with a self-closing device and staff required to ensure that it is not propped open. A member of staff shall be made responsible to ensure the door is opened for as brief a period as possible. Where necessary adequate and suitable mechanical ventilation will be provided to public areas.

Please note that this is a breach of the conditions on your licence and may result in enforcement action being taken.

You are therefore instructed to address these issues urgently, and if not compliant within 2 weeks from date of this letter we will consider enforcement action or a review of your licence.

If you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact me on the above details.

You should familiarise yourself with the activities permitted under any current License & such unauthorised use of the premises must cease immediately.

We expect full compliance with all conditions on the premises license in future.

You may consider submitting a variation if there are any issues that need resolving regarding specific wording of the premises license.

This Authority may seek to prosecute if this or future unauthorised activity is identified. It is in your own interest to ensure that you are complying with the licensing laws.

If any of the above is unclear or you require further clarification please contact the Licensing Team Leader on 020 8489 8232.



**Photo 1 of 3**

**Taken on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2016 at 01:06 hrs from Coburg Rd facing the junction of Coburg Rd and Mayes Rd.**

**Depicts patrons from the Duke of Edinburgh after they had exited the pub from the side door where the beer garden is and made their way towards the junction with Coburg Rd and Mayes Rd dressed in togas and causing obstruction to traffic**



Photo 2 of 3

Taken on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2016 at 01:06 hrs from Coburg Rd facing the junction of Coburg Rd and Mayes Rd.

Depicts patrons from the Duke of Edinburgh after they had exited the pub from the side door where the beer garden is and made their way towards the junction with Coburg Rd and Mayes Rd dressed in togas and causing obstruction to traffic



Photo 3 of 3

Taken on 16<sup>th</sup> September 2016 at 01:07 hrs from Coburg Rd facing the junction of Coburg Rd and Mayes Rd.

Depicts patrons from the Duke of Edinburgh after they had exited the pub from the side door where the beer garden is and made their way towards the junction with Coburg Rd and Mayes Rd dressed in togas and causing obstruction to traffic

Date reported & time	Subject	Observations & time	Outcome	Our Ref
15/10/06 @00:08	Loud music & voices	@00:15 – Nuisance not established	No action required	WK4863
17/7/09	Proactive visit	@23:45 - All quiet on arrival - Low level voices witnessed with very low background music	No action required	WK127195
2/8/09 @01:20	Loud music	@04:00 - All quiet at time of arrival	No action required	WK128730 (1)
3/12/09 @23:57 & 3/12/09 @2358	Loud music	@0025 – Music heard clearly and flashing lights outside from approximately 45 feet away - Visit made to complainant where music witnessed was preventing sleep and a statutory nuisance was witnessed – Officer spoke to licensee	Noise warning letter dated 4/12/09	WK128730 (2 & 3)
11/2/10 @23:02	Loud music	@01:30 - Visited but there was no noise coming from the pub. The pub appears to be closed as no customers were observed outside or inside	No action required	WK148285
18/2/10 @00:18	Loud music	@00:30 – Loud music heard from 50 feet away – Breach of conditions witnessed – Officer spoke to DPS re music levels and breach of license conditions	Licensing warning letter re regulated entertainment	WK128730
23/3/10 @15:53	Loud noise	N/A – Complaint received when noise not on	No action required	WK128730 (4)
24/3/10 @23:51	Loud music	@00:14 - Visited complainant. No noise occurred all through the visit	No action required	WK128730 (5)
10/4/10	Proactive visit	@03:45 Premises shut	No action required	WK128730
11/4/10	Proactive visit	@01:50 No noise heard outside - premises appeared shut.	No action required	WK128730
15/7/10 @23:11	Loud music	@23:35 - Visit made to the pub, low level noise heard. Officer spoke with the licensee and advised him that a complaint had been made. When officer entered pub he saw a band packing up, so it was likely this was the source of the noise. No nuisance witnessed	No action required	WK128730 (7)
21/7/10 @23:16	Loud music	@00:30 - Visited complainant and phoned him from outside. Complainant confirmed that the music at the pub has now been turned down and will call again if necessary	No action required	WK128730 (8)

8/9/10 @22:33	Loud music	@23:34 – Officer arrived in area and noticed that although the pub had pulled their blinds down music could still be heard outside from 50 metres – The side gate was open and a large crowd of people were inside still consuming alcohol & regulated entertainment being played & open beyond premises license time – Officer spoke to manager Mr Arhan Sahin – Premises was closed and customers left within 10 minutes	Noise warning letter x3 dated 16/9/10 & 17/9/10 + Licensing warning letter x3 re breach of license condition dated 17/9/10 + Simple Caution dated 14/10/10	WK128730 (9) + WK170515
18/9/11	People noise outside pub	@00:28 - No noise, no people outside	No action required	WK128730 (10)
19/9/11 @14:40	Loud music and customers shouting and been unruly then in the street	N/A – Complaint received when noise not on	No action required	WK200544 (1)
2/10/11 @03:46	Loud noise, from people outside the pub.	@03:56 - Visited, no noise heard	No action required	WK128730 (12)
4/10/11 @16:16	Loud music	N/A – Complaint received when noise not on	No action required	WK128730 (11)
30/10/11 @02:51	Singing and shouting from customers leaving pub	@0259 - Wrong telephone number provided	No action required	WK204842 (1)
19/5/12 @ 03:59	Singing and shouting	@04:07 - Message left on a/p	No action required	WK204842 (2)
20/5/12 @03:30	Loud shouting	@04:30 - Nobody outside in street on arrival	No action required	WK204842 (3)
23/6/12 @21:17	Loud music	@2136 Noise on – Officer advised he will call when free - @2219 Noise off.	No action required	WK128730 (13)
1/7/12 @03:24	People noise as leaving pub	@03:50 - People now dispersed, no noise, no visit required	No action required	WK204842 (4)
14/7/12 @03:01	Singing and shouting	@03:32 - Did not see any one on arrival. Complainant stated police had cleared the area.	No action required	WK204842 (5)
17/2/13 @03:22	Shouting, swearing & laughing by customers leaving the premises	@04:14 - Visited area, all quiet no noise and no one seen	No action required	WK128730 (16)
24/2/13	Proactive visit	@03:09 – Visited area - Premises was almost empty. Observed several youngsters dispersing in a quiet and orderly manner. No unreasonable noise observed around the premises apart from voices from normal conversations	No action required	WK128730



26/3/13	Proactive visit	No visit time provided by officer - No signs in the rear beer garden re condition - "Please respect our neighbours and leave quietly" sign will be on display.	Licensing warning letter re breach of condition dated 27/3/13	WK128730
21/4/13	Proactive visit	@03:30 - Premises shut	No action required	WK128730
11/8/13 @0853	Friday DJ, with loud music / disturbance / dancing until 03:30 then noise as people leave	N/A - Complaint received when noise not on	No action required	WK128730 (17)
29/12/13 @00:09	Loud music	@00:47 - Slight bass line audible, not a statutory nuisance	No action required	WK128730 (18)
1/1/14 @02:44	Loud music	@02:55 - No answer from complainant	No action required	WK128730 (19)
1/1/14 @03:14	Loud music	N/A - No officer on duty	No action required	WK128730 (20)
18/1/14 @02:34	Loud music	@02:46 - Message left on a/p - No time to visit	No action required	WK128730 (21)
15/5/14 @17:14	Loud music	N/A - Complaint received when noise not on	No action required	WK284992 (1)
7/6/14 @23:52	Loud music and people noise	@00:15 - No noise audible outside pub, no people noise either	No action required	WK284992 (2)
15/6/14 @03:32	Loud music	@03:49 - Noise off	No action required	WK284992 (3)
29/6/14 @19:35	Loud music	@19:54 - Message left on a/p	No action required	WK284992 (4)
29/6/14 @20:07	Loud music	@20:14 - Noise on. Called back when available @21:56 Noise off - Police arrived	No action required	WK284992 (5)
22/7/14 @22:13	Loud people noise from front garden	@22:30 - No noise witnessed.	No action required	WK284992 (6)
14/9/14	Proactive visit	@00:50 - Generally quiet around the pub - No-one seen outside at time of visit. Amplified music from premises audible to Coburg Road. Music volume intermittently raised but noise not excessive	No action required	WK298582

6/12/14	Proactive visit	@22:00 - Visited the pub. It had been closed for a couple of months due to finance problems. Officer spoke to the DPS Mr Gokhan Yildirim (as per licence) and he assured officer that the premises holder is still Docorp ltd as per licence. He may well try to get the premises licence transferred to himself or the lease holder Mr Mahmut Ozel but this is not yet confirmed. Advised him to contact the licensing team as soon as anything happens on that account. Officer also did a risk assessment while there, CCTV not working, it will be sorted out this week on 8/12/14. But it is not a requirement of the premises licence to have CCTV so no warning can be given	No action required	WK305624
25/1/15 @01:44	Loud music	@02:18 - No noise heard from outside.	No action required	WK284992 (7)
28/2/15	Proactive visit	No visit time provided by officer - Officer was visiting a complainant nearby on a different issue when he noticed that he could hear music coming from the Duke of Edinburgh pub as he stood on the opposite side of the road . Advice given to the manager to turn down the music	No action required	WK298582
19/7/15 @0120	Loud music	@01:35 - Officer attended area outside of the complainant's premises and clearly heard loud music coming from the Duke of Edinburgh - Music was judged to be excessive and a statutory nuisance to local residents - Officer noted that windows at the front of the pub were all open in breach of license condition - Officer then spoke to staff on duty who closed windows and music volume returned to acceptable level	Warning letter re loud music dated 24/7/15 + Licensing warning letter re breach of license condition dated 24/7/15	WK326934 (1)
16/8/15 @21:02	Loud music	@22:00 - Visited the pub, music audible but not excessive. Doors and windows of the pub were open and music was escaping. The pub was packed. It looked like they had an event. Voices from patrons in the beer garden were loud and audible due to large numbers of patrons. Officer spoke to the DPS and his brother Ali. They closed all doors and windows and they advised patrons to moderate their behaviour	No action taken	WK326934 (2)

16/8/15 @21:54	Loud music	@23:59 - Visited premises, music from the Duke of Edinburgh was barely audible except when doors opened but car stereos playing and still lots of patrons in Beer Garden. Advised pub to get all Patron indoors and shut doors and windows. They did. Officer left area after music went down	No action taken	WK326934 (3)
17/8/15 @00:19	Loud music	@00:49 - Visited pub, noise breaks out at the front but was not constant and was not excessive across the road where comp lives. Can be just about audible. Went to the pub and advised them to stop regulated entertainment and prepare for closure now. Took them 10 minutes to do that	No action taken	WK326934 (4)
17/8/15 @01:06	Loud music	@01:06 - Premises now closed. Officer was outside it as it closed. No noise apart from patrons on the street leaving with car engines and car stereos	No action required	WK326934 (5)
21/8/15	Proactive visit between 1-3am to check noise outbreak and conforming with licensing conditions.	No visit time provided by officer - Stopped at the side road, nothing going on , rear door was open, a few customers in but no noise	No action required	WK326934
29/11/15 @03:46	People noise from patrons leaving pub.	@03:54 - Noise off	No action required	WK326934 (6)
8/5/16 @22:14	loud music from the pub and noise of people in the street from the pub	@22:29 - Visited, no music heard over 20 people outside, cars beeping horns etc	No action required	WK326934 (7)
2/5/16 @01:59	People noise	N/A - Complainant opted to call Police as incident had developed into a street brawl	No action required	WK352902 (2)
9/5/16 @09:34	People leaving the pub late at night who make a lot of noise. Also commented about the state of the road in the morning	N/A - Complaint received when noise not on	No action required	WK352902 (1)

5/6/16 @01:45	Loud music	@02:28 – Visit made to area – Loud music heard clearly – Officer noted front windows were open allowing noise to escape breaching license condition. Officer then spoke to DPS and showed him open windows	Licensing warning letter re breach of license condition dated 15/7/16	WK326934 (8)
10/7/16 @01:11	Loud music & voices from pub	@01:50 - Slight music audible outside, not a statutory nuisance, barely audible from outside complainant's premises. Passing car making more noise	No action required	WK326934 (9)
10/7/16 @02:23	Loud music	@03:05 - Premises closed	No action required	WK326934 (10)
21/8/16 @00:46	Noise from people outside. Don't seem to be controlled by door staff	@01:00 – Officer visited, no issues seen or heard. Advised licensee of complaint and advised SIA staff to tighten up	No action required	WK352902 (3)
28/8/16 @00:29	Loud music	@01:15 - Private birthday party at pub. Music audible to street. The issue for complainant is the bass. Spoke to Mr Ali Erdem at pub. Advised to turn down bass. Complied. Statutory nuisance to complainant was not established.	No action required	WK326934 (12)
4/9/16	Proactive visit arranged to see whether door supervisors are doing their job etc	@01:50 - Occasional showers at time of visit - 2 Security on door - Occasional light conversation and barely audible music heard from venue - In my opinion earlier heavy rain in the evening might have deterred people from going out - did not seem to be anyone inside at front of bar - Left area @0240 hrs - No problems during visit - Saw maybe one person leave near when I first arrived	No action required	WK352902
16/9/16 @00:07	Noise from people at pub attending a toga party	@00:53 - Officer arrived in the area and saw a large crowd of people attending a toga party - Officer noted people were chatting and drinking in the beer garden dressed in togas - A lot of the noise the officer heard appeared to be coming from the beer garden area of the pub - The noise was not a problem in complainant's rear bedroom and the complainant was not willing to allow the officer into his mother's bedroom at the front of the premises - It must be noted that it was fresher week at university and the crowd was blocking the road at times- However it appears that the beer garden is no longer being used for a quiet shisha smoking area – 3 x Photos taken of party goers in street dispersing from venue	Report made to enforcement response team leader and head of licensing	WK352902 (4)

1/10/16 @02:43	Loud music and noise from people. The complainant wanted officer to visit and keep observations outside the pub to see how things go when it closes and people start to leave.	@02:53 - While officer in the car making observations a stabbing was witnessed at about 03:15. Officer called police and ambulance for the young man who had been stabbed. Police arrived swiftly and dealt with the victim until the ambulance crew arrived. The victim was taken to hospital	Matter escalated to police incident - Enforcement response team leader and head of licensing informed	WK352902 (5)
2/10/16 @02:29	Loud music	@02:52 - No time to visit before premises closes	No action required	WK326934 (13)
29/10/16 @00:16	Loud voices from garden area	@00:50 - Talking heard not excessive.	No action required	WK326934 (14)

**This representation recommends that the following alterations/conditions to the operating schedule:**

**Outside Areas**

**Restrictions need to be imposed regarding times that the external areas will be closed and patrons requested to come inside the main structure of the premises**

## **Police representation**

## The Duke of Edinburgh

This report relates to the impending Review of The Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road N22 and my interaction with the venue. There have been few offences reported at the venue in the last 18 months although a serious assault involving a client took place nearby on 1<sup>st</sup> October 2016. However, there have been complaints of anti-social behaviour from the clientele over the last few years. The Premises Licence holder is Mr Mahmut Ozel. The DPS is his son Umuthan Ozel. From my visits to the venue and conversations with him I do not believe Mahmut Ozel is particularly involved in the physical day to day management of the venue in evenings but rather leaves it to his DPS and his older son Ali Ozel. I have seen Ali Ozel leaving the venue and hanging around outside on a number of occasions as I walk / drive past in the evening and he usually has a group of similar aged Turkish appearing males with him. Ali first came to my attention as controlling the venue in July 2015 and I have noted below some interactions:

-----

On Sunday 19th July 2015 I was on duty in full uniform conducting licensing visits. At 0025 I attended The Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road N22. The venues Premises Licence states there should be a minimum of 2 SIA registered security officers on Friday and Saturday nights. I could not see any SIA officers. Mr Suat Hayirli informed me he was in charge. Suat told me they only had 1 SIA officer on duty as he had given the second one the night off. I asked where the SIA officer was and was told he had gone to get ice from a shop. On my request Suat phoned the DPS Devrim Guccuk but got no reply. I phoned the DPS twice but no reply. I waited 27 minutes but the SIA officer did not appear. I phoned Mahmut Ozel the Licensee twice but got no reply. At 0052. I phoned Licensee again and spoke with a man who identified himself as Ali Ozel who told me his father spoke little English and he was representing him. I pointed out the Condition relating to SIA staff. I left venue and returned at 0125 and found Umit Taghan, a registered SIA officer present.

On Saturday 1st August 2015 at 0004 Police attended The Duke of Edinburgh to conduct a licensing visit. The found about 150 clients and only 1 SIA registered officer, Umit Taghan. There was another male present, Ozgur Yigitogui who stated he was working behind the bar and awaiting his SIA course.

On Saturday 8th August 2015 I attended venue at 0045 with Daliah Barrett and spoke with Ali Ozel the son of Licensee who I believe I spoke with by phone on 19th July and who said he was in charge. I asked how many SIA door supervisors were present as I did not see one on the door and he told me two. I asked to see them. Whilst we were waiting he showed us a calendar that was supposed to show security staff on duty but was illegible. After 20 minutes we were presented with the 2 security officers but only 1 was registered with the SIA. The other man told me he worked behind the bar but would help out if there was trouble. I pointed out it was illegal to employ a security officer who was not registered with the SIA and that legally there was only 1 SIA person present which was a breach of the Condition relating to security on the Premises Licence. Ali told him he would not be employed at the venue again and assured me there would be 2 SIA officers the next day. The man told me his SIA licence had expired and he was going to do the course again and I told him I was sorry but he knows he cannot work as a security officer at venue and if he was found doing security work and reported it could endanger him getting his SIA registration. He told me he understood and he was not working as a security office at venue.



Ali told us the DPS was on holiday in Cornwall and was asked if a letter had been left authorising someone to act in his place as he was not contactable. Ali told us he was contactable as he could be back at the venue in an hour. We did not accept this and Ali said he had a Personal Licence and a letter authorising him to act in the DPS's position had been left. We asked to see them and were told they were at his home in Southgate but if we gave him 20 minutes he could check his emails and find a copy of the authorisation.

Before we left I advised Ali to employ 2 SIA registered security staff until the other man had passed his course and registered and have his Personal Licence and DPS authorisation at the venue. He assured me I could return later that night and find everything correct.

Later that Saturday at 2355 we attended venue again and Ali said he was in charge. The man who I spoke to earlier that day was standing on the entrance with an SIA registered officer. I pointed this out to Ali who told me he had taken legal advice and if a venue is closing before 0100 hours and have less than 100 clients present the venue was not required to have more than 1 SIA officer and he was prepared to meet us on Monday with his solicitor to prove this. I pointed out that may be a recommendation but the Condition on the Premises Licence requires 2 and if he wished to reduce it to one he should apply for a variation but Police would object due to location of venue, late opening hours and previous violent crime and disorder prior to new Licensee taking over but still recent so potential to reoccur. I asked to see the Premises Licence to show him the Condition regarding SIA security and he said it was not at the venue. I told him it should be at the venue. He again told me his solicitor would meet us and he only required one SIA officer by law. I phoned Licensee, who spoke good English, and explained previous visits and problem with only 1 SIA officer but he kept saying he would meet us with his solicitor. He asked if I wanted the venue to close and I stated it was up to him but I was not insisting it close. I again mention the 2 SIA officer Condition and the phone went dead.

As I went to leave Ali insisted I was wrong about the SIA requirement and told me as I did not have the Premises Licence with me I could not know the Conditions. I told him the reason the Licence could not be consulted was it was not at the venue and by law it should be. He was getting angry and told me the Licence was at the venue. We went to the bar and he found it and slammed it down. I opened it to the Condition but before I could say more he angrily told me it was nothing to do with him he was just at the venue. I told him he had said he was in charge but he said he was in no position of authority at the venue. I asked who was in charge and he told me nobody! We left the venue.

A meeting was held at Haringey Council Licensing Office, Level 6 Alexandra House, 10 Station Road n22 7TR on Thursday 13/08/2015 at 1045 hours. In attendance were myself, Dale Barrett and Mr Mahmut Ozel, Licensee and Devrim Cuccuk venues DPS who announced he would be resigning as DPS.

Notes on Police visits to venue, above, given to Mr Mahmut. Mr Mahmut apologised for the actions of his son, Ali, who he assured us would never be left in charge of venue again or interfere in any Police visits. He assured us all Conditions, including 2 SIA Door Supervisors on Friday & Saturday nights would be honoured. He was warned the venues Licence could be reviewed but after this meeting no further action would be taken unless further breaches.

On Wednesday 20<sup>th</sup> April 2016 I received an email from PC Sam Davies regarding his interaction with the venue:

**From:** Davies Samuel - YR  
**Sent:** 20 April 2016 23:19  
**To:** Greaves Mark L - YR  
**Subject:** Duke of Edinburgh Pub - 20/04/2016

Hi Mark,

A couple of units attended the above this evening, in relation to travelers refusing to leave.

CAD 8279 refers to the first call, this was given an s grading. Staff calling police were very rude and swearing at the control staff during call. CAD 8687 was then received which was an I grade. This came out as large group fighting. On arrival within minutes, it was clear this was not the case and merely a line to get police to hurry up. On arrival, staff were rude to officers regarding their time coming.

We eventually removed those within who were not welcome.

At approx 2035 hours, no persons made themselves known as a DPS and one person said the DPS had gone home for the night so it was not known who was in charge.

Thanks,

Sam

**Samuel Davies | Police constable 370YR | Safer Neighbourhoods - North Cluster | Harlgey BOCU**

Both CADs attached. Phone number on CAD 8279 same as Ali Ozel.

YRRT00349029

On SUNDAY 02 MAY 2016 at 0157 hours Police were called to a disturbance outside the Duke Of Edinburgh Pub on MAYES ROAD N22. MALE caller stated that there was a fight outside and MALE chasing others with a machete. CAD 750/02MAY16 refers.

Upon arrival there were several people outside the venue and no person willing to make any allegations. Police were there for some time and the venue began to clear and so did the streets.

Once the cars and people had left a large machete was found on the roadside next to the curb. It was in a sheaf but also rusty and looked like a work tool.

This has been seized by police and booked into the 66 at YD.

The landlord had a large crowd inside and said there were no disturbances inside. Due to the mood changing outside, he decided to close.

CAD 750 & 737 attached.

On Friday 20th May at 1400 myself and Joe Laws - Beatham left the following letter with Huseyin Isik T07931749696:

Dear Sir

I emailed you on 9th May trying to arrange a meeting to discuss disorder at The Duke of Edinburgh and to request CCTV of the incident. I did not get a response on: [orhankurt@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:orhankurt@hotmail.co.uk) ..... is that your correct contact email?

Part of my responsibilities is to investigate issues of crime / disorder inside licensed venues or outside where venues clential are believed involved. The most effective way to do this is to view CCTV coverage of incidents. This can also disprove any complaints about the venue from local residents; there has been a complaint about this incident.

Can you please download footage from all cameras relating to:  
2nd May 2016 from 0100 - 0300

I have enclosed a USB for the footage.

On Friday 20th May 2016 at 0246 Ali Ozel phoned to say he remembers incident outside on 2nd May and he has my USB / letter and will get engineer to download footage next day. He told me email for Licensee in my letter is no longer in use. He said his father wants to meet me so we will meet next week.

On Saturday 11th June 2016 I attended The Duke of Edinburgh and met Ali Ozel who had a letter from DPS Umuthan Ozel nominating him a acting DPS as he is in America from 7th May until 16th June. I asked about the CCTV request I made and was told it was not downloaded. I asked why and was casually told he didn't know how as he had not got the password. He told me they had an engineer who dealt with the CCTV but apparently that engineer was not told about my request! I spoke on phone to engineer who said he would attend in an hour to see if CCTV had over-ridden as although more than 31 days had gone it may be there as the hard-drive was large and he did not know how long footage was kept. He told me he had been told not to give the password to staff as they may remove footage and he was available to download material. I asked if he would be available late at night if there was an incident and he said no as he would be in bed asleep. I left my phone number but did not get a call. Spoke to Licensee Mr Mahmut Ozel who told me to speak with the engineer as he dealt with CCTV. I pointed out staff did not know password for CCTV so could not show Police footage should there be an incident. He again referred me to the engineer. Emailed Licensee.

Email on 14/06/2016

Dear Mr Ozel

We spoke on the phone on Saturday 11th June when myself and Mrs Barrett visited 'The Duke of Edinburgh' as part of our Euro's 16 licensing visits and to collect CCTV I requested in May. I met your son Ali who was acting DPS. I was casually informed the CCTV had not been downloaded. Apparently your staff do not have the password to operate CCTV. You have an engineer, who I spoke to that night, who has the password but did not download CCTV so it appears my request was

On Saturday 5<sup>th</sup> November 2016 I phoned Mr Mahmut Ozel regarding intelligence received that a party had been booked at the venue for that evening and we believed there was substantial risk of crime and disorder taking place. Mr Ozel was not aware of any bookings at the venue but told me he would check and phone me back. He did and confirmed a booking was made. He told me would cancel the booking. I told him we would pay attention to venue should clients still turn up and to call us if any concerns. He called shortly afterwards and said he had decided to close the venue at 2000 that evening. Mr Ozel told me Ali Ozel would no longer be managing the venue.

Proposed Conditions should the Committee feel any required:

A digital CCTV system to be installed in the premises.

Cameras must be sited to observe the entrance doors from both inside and outside.

Cameras on the entrances must capture full frame shots of the heads and shoulders of all people entering the premises i.e. capable of identification.

Cameras must be sited to cover all areas to which the public have access including any outside smoking areas.

Provide a linked record of the date, time of any image.

Provide good quality images - colour during opening times.

Have a monitor to review images and recorded quality.

Be regularly maintained to ensure continuous quality of image capture and retention.

Member of staff trained in operating CCTV at venue during times open to the public.

Digital images must be kept for 31 days. The equipment must have a suitable export method, e.g. CD/DVD writer so that Police can make an evidential copy of the data they require. Copies must be available within a reasonable time to Police on request.

An incident log shall be kept at the premises, it will be in a hardback durable format handwritten at the time of the incident or as near to as is reasonable and made available on request to the Police, which will record the following:

- (a) all crimes reported to the venue
- (b) all ejections of patrons
- (c) any complaints received
- (d) any incidents of disorder
- (e) seizures of drugs or offensive weapons
- (f) any faults in the CCTV system or searching equipment or scanning equipment
- (g) any refusal of the sale of alcohol
- (h) any visit by a relevant authority or emergency service.

ignored. I requested the CCTV footage to ascertain if the violent disorder outside your venue originated from the venue or not but now I cannot although failing to supply CCTV may suggest to a Licensing Committee that it did. I am still getting complaints about the venue and we need to sort out the CCTV issue, staff cannot operate as not given password. If there is a serious incident in the early hours Police would need to view CCTV of the incident to identify suspects and ascertain exactly what happened to support / refute any allegations. Therefore I would like to meet you again, at the pub if you wish.

Please let me know when you are available to meet. The last email I had for you is apparently no longer used and you did not get previous emails and you gave me this one on Saturday so please confirm receipt of this email.

I later met Ali who told me he had lost the USB I gave him.

On 28<sup>th</sup> July 2016 I received an email from Mrs Barrett regarding an event at venue, 'Wave Nation' on 30<sup>th</sup> July 2016:

**From:** Barrett Daliah [mailto:Daliah.Barrett@haringey.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 28 July 2016 09:20  
**To:** Greaves Mark L - YR <Mark.L.Greaves@met.pnn.police.uk>  
**Subject:** DUKE OF EDINBURGH

I just spoke to M Ozel via an interpreter.  
The DPS is away again in Turkey until 4<sup>th</sup> August! I advised that the free shots on entry was not to be done. I asked what security was going to be in place for the event. Mr Ozel is going to the premises and will be calling me back in 3 hours to discuss what they will be doing in relation to the proposed event.

Mr Ozel is taking his son Ali away from the business and will be putting in new management next week.

Over the previous months I have attended venue and spoken with Umuthan and Ali Ozel about complaints of anti-social behavior by clients outside their venue on a number of occasions. They assured me they are monitoring and trying to stop such behavior but claimed it was not always their clients. I mentioned complaints of clients playing football in the street and he told me there is a gym next door and people come from there kicking the ball although they are clients of the venue. Ali Ozel told me he was aware who was complaining.

CRIS: 2824947/16: On Sunday 25<sup>th</sup> September 2016 Mr Barnes alleged that Ali Ozel had threatened to kill him during an argument outside venue. Police interviewed Mr Ozel who stated he had seen Mr Barnes arguing with 9 / 10 of his customers and intervened. He agreed they argued but denied any threats. No independent witnesses, forensic or audible CCTV so no further action.

CRIS: 2825497/16: On Saturday 1<sup>st</sup> October 2016 male victim had been drinking at venue. He left at about 0300 and returned to his parked car in Coberg Road N22 near venue. He accidentally reversed his car into another car. The owner of the other car appeared and opened victim's door. Victim claims he was pulled out and punched in the face. Victim claims suspect called 3 men from the Shisha area at venue and they came to scene. Victim was stabbed in the thigh, lower leg and torso. Suspects later arrested but only one made a statement and said he had been in venue and gone to scene of incident but denied assaulting victim. Venue has provided CCTV.

**A minimum of 2 SIA registered Door Supervisors to be employed at venue from 2100 hours until venue has closed and clients dispersed Monday to Sunday.**

**Challenge 25 Policy Implemented:**

**I recommend that the following form of verification of a person's proof of age is:**

**A valid passport.**

**A photo driving licence**

**A proof of age standard card system**

**A citizen card, supported by the Home Office.**

**Mr Ozel appears to have left the running of the venue in the hands of his 2 sons. From my interactions with them it appears Ali is the older dominant son and his younger brother, DPS does not wish to or cannot control him. Mr Ozel has assured us on a number of occasions Ali would not be managing the venue but he still attends with friends and seems to control venue. There needs to be an independent DPS at venue who has a management team who can control activities of the clientele including Ali Ozel regardless of his family owning the venue. CCTV needs to be better quality and so extra lighting needed both inside and immediately outside to support quality images.**

# Environmental Services & Community Safety

Licensing Team Leader Daliah Barrett -Williams

Date:

Our ref:

Direct 020 8489 8232  
dial:

Dear Mr M Ozel,

Duke of Edinburgh – Mayes Road N22- Proposed event: Wave Nation  
30<sup>th</sup> July 2016.

We have been advised that there is a proposed event due to take place at the venue on 30<sup>th</sup> July 2016 called Wave Nation. We have concerns relating to this event namely:

The offer of free shot to customer- The Premises has Mandatory Conditions around not offering free alcoholic drinks to customers by way of attracting customers to consume more alcohol.

- a) provision of free or discounted alcohol or any other thing as a prize to encourage or reward the purchase and consumption of alcohol over a period of 24 hours or less in a manner which carries a significant risk of undermining a licensing objective;
- b) selling or supplying alcohol in association with promotional posters or flyers on, or in the vicinity of, the premises which can reasonably be considered to condone, encourage or glamorise anti-social behaviour or to refer to the effects of drunkenness in any favourable manner.

The licence also places a requirement on the licence holder to monitor customer behaviour. There have been instances of anti social behaviour on the street outside the venue (Coburg Road) which has emanated from within the venue and carried out by customers of the venue. Requests by Police for CCTV footage of these incidents have not been forthcoming from the management of the venue.

INCIDENT No. 737 entered at 01:54 on 02MAY16 by CHS/CHS in CCC/IR

INCIDENT WAS ENTERED "EXTERNALLY"

Rec By :E (Emergency)  
Call Tel :079\*\*\*\*\*  
Call Name:Victim - uber driver  
Call Type:V (Victim)  
Att Locn :CAXTON RD/MAYES RD,N22:  
Map :Page 099, Grid Reference 530944,190147  
GPA :YD [Division: YR:YE]  
Inc Locn :NR PRINCE OF EDINBURGH PH,COBURG RD/MAYES RD,N22:6TY  
Map :Page 099, Grid Reference 530783,190025  
GPA :YD [Division: YR:YE]  
Opening 1:001 (Violence Against The Person)  
2:600 (Alcohol)  
Urgency :S (Significant)  
DeAssign :YR82N, Y1N, YR17N, YR32N, YR88N  
TOA :02:01:30/02MAY16  
CRIS :2810772/16  
Class 1 :001 (Violence Against The Person)  
Qual 1 :701 (Assistance Requested / Rendered)  
Res 1 :710 (CRIS Entry/Updated)

Remarks:

Time	Date	Opid	Termid
01:56:25	02MAY16	CHS	CHS (pre 1st routing)
		CREATED IN: CHS	AT: 2016-05-02 01:54:35 CAD AT: 2016-05-02

=====

ENTERED BY: CHS (c081242 ) AT: 2016-05-02 01:55:47  
15mins ago - inft was assaulted by 5 x males in the street

01:57:43 02MAY16 081242 B2583  
SUSPS 5 X IC1M'S,A/A 20'S - 3 ATTACKED INFT

01:58:03 02MAY16 081242 B2583  
WRNG N/K - LAS DEC - NO WEAPONS SEEN

01:58:15 02MAY16 081242 B2583  
THEY OPENED INFT'S CAR DOOR AND PUNCHED INFT

01:58:29 02MAY16 081242 B2583  
THEY PUNCHED INFT IN FACE

01:59:26 02MAY16 081242 B2583  
SUSPS WERE DRUNK

02:01:25 02MAY16 100377 B3328  
^YR - Y1N WILL GO AND SPEAK TO CALLER

02:12:42 02MAY16 100377 B3328  
^505YR - CROWD ARE STILL A BIT HOSTILE AND AREN'T LEAVING...

02:22:26 02MAY16 100377 B3328  
^194YR - WE HAVE FOUND THE MACHETE IN A SHEAF O/S SIDE ENTRANCE  
AT DUKE OF EDINBURGH

SUBJECT TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND DATA PROTECTION ACT  
NO UNAUTHORISED DISCLOSURE-DISPOSE OF AS CONFIDENTIAL WASTE.



INCIDENT No. 750 entered at 01:57 on 02MAY16 by CHS/CHS in CCC/IR

INCIDENT WAS ENTERED "EXTERNALLY"  
INCIDENT IS "SUB QUEUED" TO "D"  
INCIDENT IS "TAGGED" 3 TIMES

Rec By :E (Emergency)

Call Tel :07956\*\*\*\*\*

Call Name:MALE CALLER

Call Type:W (Witness)

Att Locn :O/S DUKE OF EDINGBURGH HOTEL, MAYES ROAD,N22 6TN

Map :Page 099, Grid Reference 530767,190195

GPA :YD [Division: YR:YE]

Inc Locn :O/S DUKE OF EDINGBURGH HOTEL, MAYES ROAD,N22 6TN

Map :Page 099, Grid Reference 530767,190195

GPA :YD [Division: YR:YE]

Opening 1:001 (Violence Against The Person)

2:607 (Weapon - not knife/blade/firearm)

Prompt(s) existed for Opening Code(s) at time of creation

Open Text:

Urgency :R (Referred)

VRMs :

Proposal :(BOCU at 01:58/02MAY16):

YR24N YR26N YR21L YR82N YR2N 199YR 734YR 302YR 614YR 505YR

Assigned :

DeAssign :YR17N, Y1N, YR32N

TOA :02:00:29/02MAY16

Class 1 :506 (Duplicate)

Qual 1 :701 (Assistance Requested / Rendered)

=====

ENTERED BY: CHS (c716811 ) AT: 2016-05-02 01:58:00  
fight going on now  
01:58:00 02MAY16 CHS

01:59:23 02MAY16 CHS  
ENTERED BY: CHS (c716811 ) AT: 2016-05-02 01:59:23  
CALLER SAW ONE WEAPON - A MALE WITH A MACHETE CHASING SOME MALES  
DOWN THE ROAD - NOW ITS GOING ON OUTSIDE THE HOTEL

01:59:53 02MAY16 CHS  
ENTERED BY: CHS (c716811 ) AT: 2016-05-02 01:59:53  
THE CALLER IS UNABLE TO GIVE ME ANY DESCRIPTION OF THE SUSPECT  
WITH A MACHETE AS HE IS ON THE THIRD FLOOR HE HAS SAID

02:00:27 02MAY16 CHS  
ENTERED BY: CHS (c716811 ) AT: 2016-05-02 02:00:27  
^OP - NOT SURE IF THIS MAY BE LINKED TO CAD 737

02:05:05 02MAY16 100377 B3328  
^526YR - SOME ARGUMENTS BETWEEN DRINKERS AT THE VENUE AFTER AN  
EVENT, NO ALLEGATIONS, ALL WALKED OFF, NO MENTION OF A MACHETE...

SUBJECT TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND DATA PROTECTION ACT  
NO UNAUTHORISED DISCLOSURE-DISPOSE OF AS CONFIDENTIAL WASTE.

INCIDENT No. 8279 entered at 19:41 on 20APR16 by CHS/CHS in CCC/IR

Rec By :E (Emergency)  
Call Tel :078894\*\*\*\*\*  
Call Name:ali manger  
Call Type:W (Witness)  
Call Mail:  
Cntct Tel:  
Att Locn :83 MAYES ROAD:duke of edinburgh  
Map :Page 099, Grid Reference 530972,190053  
GPA :YD [Division: YR:YE]  
Urgency :S (Significant)  
Proposal :(BOCU at 19:43/20APR16):  
YR25N YR20E 455YR 737YR 980YR 126YR 127YR 184YR 311YR 653YR  
Assigned :  
DeAssign :YR2L, YR82L, YR3L, Y3L, YD49L  
TOA :20:20:37/20APR16  
DO Name :INSP SANDERSON  
CRIS :NOT CRIMED  
Class 1 :305 (Civil Disputes)  
Qual 1 :701 (Assistance Requested / Rendered)  
Res 1 :702 (No Trace)  
Clo Text :MALES LEFT PRIOR TO POLICE ARRIVAL\*ASB URN NOT REQ\*195748  
O Dealing:  
Metops :  
CHS Demid:20160420035427

Linked :explicitly to 8687:20APR16 8873:20APR16

Remarks:

Time	Date	Opid	Termid
	20APR16	CHS	CHS (pre 1st routing)
19:43:44		CREATED IN: CHS	AT: 2016-04-20 19:41:56 CAD AT: 2016-04-20

=====

ENTERED BY: CHS (p205822 ) AT: 2016-04-20 19:43:44  
about 50 travellers refusing to get out of the pub they have  
gate crashed a party  
19:43:44 20APR16 CHS  
\*\* \*\*START OF DATA AUTOMATICALLY COPIED FROM OPEN PROMPT\*\* \*\*  
OPEN CODE "202" :  
USE SMF/ASB  
\*\* \*\*END OF DATA AUTOMATICALLY COPIED FROM OPEN PROMPT\*\* \*\*

19:44:23 20APR16 721852 B3329  
^YR - CIRC#1 NO REPLY  
19:44:33 20APR16 721852 B3329  
^YR - YR1L AWARE  
19:44:34 20APR16 091640 B3330  
^YR--->YR1L AWARE  
19:44:52 20APR16 721852 B3329  
^YR - NO REPLY CCTV

20:05:42 20APR16 097896 H2778  
^FC OP VERY ABUSIVE RUDE CALLER HAS CALLED IN 'WHERE THE FUCK IS  
THE POLICE'  
CALLER GOT MORE IRRATE AND TOLD ME NOT TO CALL HIM BACK AT ALL??  
20:08:01 20APR16 091640 B3330  
^YR--->25YR AWARE RE THE ABOVE  
20:22:38 20APR16 207376 B3333  
^719YR...TRAVELLERS HAVE LEFT NO OFFENCES  
  
20:23:05 20APR16 216580 B3322  
^\*\*SUPV - ASB REFERENCE REQUIRED: APS 724CC SUMMERS  
20:23:16 20APR16 207376 B3333  
^25YR...WE WILL SEND AN E-MAIL LICENSING REGARDING MANAGERS  
ATTITUDE

SUBJECT TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND DATA PROTECTION ACT  
NO UNAUTHORISED DISCLOSURE-DISPOSE OF AS CONFIDENTIAL WASTE.

INCIDENT No. 8687 entered at 20:23 on 20APR16 by CHS/CHS in CCC/IR

INCIDENT WAS ENTERED "EXTERNALLY"

Rec By :E (Emergency)  
Call Tel :07464 96\*\*\*\*  
Call Name:BARRY - STAFF  
Call Type:W (Witness)  
Call Mail:  
Cntct Tel:  
Att Locn :MAYES ROAD, N22:THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH PH  
Map :Page 099, Grid Reference 530767,190195  
GPA :YD [Division: YR:YE]  
Open Text:  
Urgency :I (Immediate)  
VRMs :  
Proposal :(BOCU at 20:25/20APR16):  
YR25N YR20E YD18L YR2L 455YR 737YR 980YR 126YR 184YR 311YR  
Assigned :  
DeAssign :YR82L, YD49L, YR3L, YD49L  
TOA :20:26:01/20APR16  
DO Name :  
DO Tel :  
CRIS :NOT CRIMED  
Class 1 :506 (Duplicate)  
Qual 1 :701 (Assistance Requested / Rendered)  
Res 1 :703 (No Offences Disclosed)  
Clo Text :NO OFFENCES\* 8873  
  
Linked :explicitly to 8279:20APR16

20APR16 CHS CHS (pre 1st routing)  
CREATED IN: CHS AT: 2016-04-20 20:23:55 CAD AT: 2016-04-20  
20:25:09

=====

ENTERED BY: CHS (c702008 ) AT: 2016-04-20 20:25:09  
THERE ARE APPRX 20 MALES FIGHTING AT LCN,  
20:25:09 20APR16 CHS  
\*\* \*\*START OF DATA AUTOMATICALLY COPIED FROM OPEN PROMPT\*\* \*\*  
OPEN CODE "001" :  
01/05/07 Consider contacting MIB 24/7 Intelligence Support on  
68400 or 68401 when dealing with critical/serious  
incidents  
\*\* \*\*END OF DATA AUTOMATICALLY COPIED FROM OPEN PROMPT\*\* \*\*  
20:25:26 20APR16 CHS  
ENTERED BY: CHS (c702008 ) AT: 2016-04-20 20:25:26  
THEY ARE SMASHING GLASSES AND BEING AGGRESSIVE TOWARDS THE STAFF  
AS WELL  
\*\* \*\*END OF DATA AUTOMATICALLY COPIED FROM OPEN PROMPT\*\* \*\*  
20:34:10 20APR16 207376 B3333  
^25YR...THERE WAS NO FIGHT AT LOC - 30 PEOPLE WERE INSIDE AND  
THE VENUE HAS NOW BEEN CLEARED DOORS BEING LOCKED

SUBJECT TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND DATA PROTECTION ACT  
NO UNAUTHORISED DISCLOSURE-DISPOSE OF AS CONFIDENTIAL WASTE.

**Appendix C1 - Representation from Mr Ozel –  
Licence Holder**

# Environmental Services & Community Safety

Licensing Team Leader Daliah Barrett -Williams

Date: 29<sup>th</sup> November 2016

Mahmut Ozkan Ozel  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London N22 6UP

Our ref:

Direct 020 8489 8232  
dial:

Dear Mr M Ozel,

## Duke of Edinburgh – Mayes Road N22- PREMISES LICENCE REVIEW.

It is disappointing that neither you as the Premises Licence Holder or Mr Umuthan Ozel as the Designated Premises Supervisor have contacted the Licensing Authority to discuss the Review papers served on the premises.

You have received text communication from the Licensing Authority raising concerns over the Review and what it means in terms of the management of the venue but to date there has been no formal response from you.

We are writing to you at this time as there are some recorded images that the review applicant wishes to share with you prior to the hearing taking place in December. If you could please supply us with an email address to enable us to share the links with you so that you are able to view what has been submitted.

If there is any supporting documentation or considerations that you wish to the Licensing Sub Committee to have sight of prior to the hearing please can you submit this to us as a matter of urgency to ensure it is included in the report.

It is important that you and the designated premises supervisor attend the hearing in December as the Licensing Sub Committee will need to hear from you both about how the premises is operated and the steps that management put in place to ensure that the licensing objectives are being upheld and promoted at all times.

Yours sincerely

Licensing Team Leader

Haringey London Licensing Team  
Level 6, Alexandra House  
10 Station Road  
London  
N22 7TR

30 November 2016

Dear Sirs,

**Re: Premise Licence Review Application- By Resident  
Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN**

I confirm to the best of my knowledge and belief that the following representations are made based on appropriate enquiries with staff with relevant knowledge and experience of the day to day running of the pub and additionally those with awareness of the licensing objectives.

The letter from the Licensing team, dated 3 November 2016, does not disclose which of the licensing objectives are said to have been breached. Additionally, the letter does not disclose the basis on which the application for review will be referred to the Sub-Committee. Therefore, these representations are simply to address the allegations made in the correspondences from the residents.

On 7 November 2016, I was contacted by Ms Daliah Barret, the Licensing Team leader who queried what steps have been taken with change of management. I advised that there has been a change in management. Ms Barret indicated that the response was not sufficient, however, no suggestions were made as to what else I was required to address.

The premises license holder denies the allegations that are made and denies that there are continued breaches of the statutory licensing objectives.

Firstly, there is no clear evidential and causal link between the allegations made and the effect on the licensing objectives. Much of the allegations seem to be of matters taking place outside of the premises and quite often at some distance away from the premises. The issues being attributed to the premises are matters outside of the control of the premise licence holder. There are numerous other businesses operating within the locality and it is therefore not possible to definitively suggest that the individuals are patrons of the Duke of Edinburgh. The premises license holder has put in place measures to keeping under control the clientele entering and leaving the premises.

Secondly, inspection of the premises was carried out at the relevant time and there was no suggestion that there was nuisance being caused by the business, when the council visited. This suggest strongly that the allegations are unfounded.

Thirdly, the application is frivolous and vexatious on several counts. The key individual who made the allegations, whom we believe to be Mr Bryan Barnes, have on numerous occasions entered the pub but have never made any legitimate complaints. The same individual frequently visits the premises looking for trouble. On several of those visits, he would often make comments such as, that area belongs to him and not to the 'Turks'. Additionally, the management have had complaints from patrons, that they were approached by the same individual and he had told them, that he would do everything in his power to clear the area of 'Turks'. It is noteworthy that the complainant place significant emphasis on labelling those he



claims to be patron of the pub, who are creating a nuisance to be people of 'Turkish origin'. It is questionable how it is that he concludes that the individuals are of 'Turkish origin' and more curious as to why ethnicity is relevant to the complaint.

Additionally, as to allegations concerning issues with parking, I respectfully suggest that such complaints should be taken up with the parking enforcement team. The premises management and the premises license holder has taken reasonable steps to monitor where patrons park their cars. As to the allegations that the patrons are engaging in car racing in the area, the management and license holder of the premises is unaware of such activities. The premises license holder has taken the initiative to speak with some of the residents and they could not recall any incidents of car racing.

There were occasions when the same individual would often visit the premises dressed in his karate uniform and would demonstrate 'karate moves' on some of these occasions he made threats to fight the staff and made comments that telling us that he will recover the area from 'Turks'. The premises license holder gave specific orders to the staff, not to engage in any sort of argument or physical contact with this person and to call the police assistance if he does not leave the premises immediately when asked to do so.

Further, the premises licence holder has taken all reasonable precautions and exercised all due diligence to prevent an offence being committed on the premises. In fact, on the 5 November 2016, I was notified by an officer that a party scheduled to take place at the premises is likely to involve criminality and though it was a weekend, which is a very profitable operational time, I took the precaution of cancelling the event with the organisers and closing the business all night. The officer was grateful for the action taken. The business

is operated always with consideration for the local area and the residents and certainly with adherence to the licensing objectives. I am currently in the process of collecting statements from people in the local area. These statements will contradict the allegations made to support the application for review. Any acquired evidence will be presented if this matter is to be put before the Sub-Committee.

As to the allegation of drug taking, it is not clear where the complainant alleges that 'drug taking' was occurring. The premises licence holder is not aware of any drug taking. Upon seeing this complaint all staff have been asked to be extra vigilant as to such activities and any awareness will be reported to the police.

There has been a change in management since August 2016, to ensure that the proper operational system in place is working effectively. The previous manager has been replaced with a more senior individual. With new management in place and a new licensee, a long-term business plan has been arrived at to target any likely crime and disorder related incidents. The business continues to be operated with a positive working relationship with the police.

The premises have also undergone some renovations, which has provided much physical improvement. Because of the changes to the physical environment, the clientele has changed significantly and the premises license holder does not accept that the behaviour of any of the patrons comes anywhere near satisfying the description detailed in the allegations.

The suggested amendments to the opening hours have been noted and the premises license holder submits that considering the aforementioned position, such a step is not required. The profitability of the business would be seriously affected. The business helps people and schools within the local area and if profit loss is experienced the assistance will no longer be viable.

Duke of Edinburgh license holder and management remain committed to ensuring compliance with the objectives. They are committed to ensuring the safety of patrons and to prevent undue interference to the lives of the local residents.

In view of the above, I would urge the Licensing Authority to refuse the application.

Yours Sincerely

Mr Mahmut Ozel

Premises License Holder

**Appendix DB1: show concerns relating to the operation of the premises were flagged up with Mr Ozel and Punch Taverns as early as 2010.**

## **Barrett Daliah**

---

**From:** Pearce Derek  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 11:42  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** RE: THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP Premises  
Licence Number: LN/000003309

Daliah

Michael Sheridan called from Punch Taverns

He said that the premises is leased to Mahmoud Ozal and he is willing to come in for a meeting to discuss the alleged licensing offences

He gave his mobile number as 07836 716524

Do you have his email address?

Derek

---

**From:** Pearce Derek  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 10:18  
**To:** 'Enquiries@punchtaverns.com'  
**Cc:** Barrett Daliah; Licensing  
**Subject:** THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP Premises Licence Number: LN/000003309  
**Importance:** High

Please would you confirm the name of the leaseholder / business owner for THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP, a premises for which you are the holder of the Premises Licence

We are investigating alleged licensing offences following a visit on 15<sup>th</sup> September and will, in due course, be inviting you to attend a meeting to discuss these and also a possible review of the license

Please also advise the name of a responsible person at Punch Taverns Plc who will liaise with the Council

Derek Pearce Team Leader  
Tel 020 8489 5264  
Haringey Council  
Enforcement Response  
Technopark  
Ashley Road  
London N17 9LN

## **Barrett Daliah**

---

**From:** Pearce Derek  
**Sent:** 17 September 2010 11:21  
**To:** 'Michael Sheridan'  
**Cc:** Roberts George; Barrett Daliah; Licensing  
**Subject:** RE: THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP Premises Licence Number: LN/000003309  
**Attachments:** Duke of Edinburgh letter Ozel 17th September 2010.pdf; Duke of Edinburgh letter Punch 17th September 2010.pdf; Duke of Edinburgh letter Yildirim 17th September 2010.pdf; Duke of Edinburgh noise warning letter 16th September 2010.pdf; Duke of Edinburgh noise warning letter 4th December 2009.pdf

Dear Mr Sheridan

I have enclosed copies of letters sent to Mr Ozel, Mr Yildirim and Punch taverns

May I suggest that you meet separately with Mr Ozel & Mr Yildirim and determine whether a simple caution is to be accepted

Whether a simple caution is to be accepted or not we will need to meet

Please also consider whether you need to seek authority to alter the plans for the premises as it seem the rear garden is being used for service of alcohol which appears not to be covered by the lodged plans

Copies of the noise warning letters are also enclosed

Derek Pearce Team Leader  
Tel 020 8489 5264  
Haringey Council  
Enforcement Response  
Technopark  
Ashley Road  
London N17 9LN

---

**From:** Michael Sheridan [mailto:Michael.Sheridan@punchtavems.com]  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 15:23  
**To:** Pearce Derek  
**Subject:** RE: THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP Premises Licence Number: LN/000003309

Derek

Leaseholder is Mr Mahmut Ozel.

Cheers

Mick

---

**From:** Pearce Derek [mailto:Derek.Pearce@haringey.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 15:19  
**To:** Michael Sheridan  
**Cc:** Barrett Daliah; Licensing; Roberts George  
**Subject:** RE: THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP Premises Licence Number: LN/000003309

Dear Mr Sheridan

Thank you for calling me earlier

Please would you confirm the name of the leaseholder / business owner for The Duke of Edinburgh pub address as above

We will then invite yourself representing Punch Taverns and the leaseholder / business owner to a meeting + any other person we believe may have committed an offence under the Licensing Act 2003

Derek Pearce Team Leader  
Enforcement Response

---

**From:** Pearce Derek  
**Sent:** 16 September 2010 10:18  
**To:** 'Enquiries@punchtaverns.com'  
**Cc:** Barrett Daliah; Licensing  
**Subject:** THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP Premises Licence Number: LN/000003309  
**Importance:** High

Please would you confirm the name of the leaseholder / business owner for THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, 83 MAYES ROAD,LONDON, N22 6UP, a premises for which you are the holder of the Premises Licence

We are investigating alleged licensing offences following a visit on 15<sup>th</sup> September and will, in due course, be inviting you to attend a meeting to discuss these and also a possible review of the license

Please also advise the name of a responsible person at Punch Taverns Plc who will liaise with the Council

Derek Pearce Team Leader  
Tel 020 8489 5264  
Haringey Council  
Enforcement Response  
Technopark  
Ashley Road  
London N17 9LN

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be subject to legal privilege and are intended only for the person(s) or organisation(s) to whom this email is addressed. Any unauthorised use, retention, distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the system administrator at Haringey Council immediately and delete this e-mail from your system. Although this e-mail and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect which might affect any computer or system into which they are received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure they are virus free and no responsibility is accepted for any loss or damage from receipt or use thereof. All communications sent to or from external third party organisations may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

**Enforcement Response Team**  
Enforcement Service, Technopark Ashley Road London N17 9LN  
Tel: 020 8489 1000 Fax: 020 8489 5133  
[www.haringey.gov.uk/noise](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/noise)



Director Urban Environment Niall Bolger  
Head of Enforcement Robin Payne

**Haringey Council**

Punch Taverns Plc  
Jubilee House  
Second Avenue  
Burton Upon Trent  
Staffordshire  
DE14 2WF

Date: 17th September 2010

Your ref:

Our ref: UE/ENFWK/000170515

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Sir

Re: Licensing Offences: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
London Borough of Haringey v Yourself

The facts of the alleged offence reported to me by the case officer namely that on 15<sup>th</sup> September at 2340 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN was supplying alcohol and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

Having carefully considered these facts and the circumstances surrounding the alleged offence I have concluded that there are sufficient grounds to institute legal proceedings against you under the above mentioned Act.

However, on this occasion I am proposing to issue a Simple Caution in respect of the allegation. This course of action is subject to your agreement and admission of the alleged offence.

I must advise you that should you agree to accept a Simple Caution, a record will be kept of it at this office. The record of a Simple caution may influence any subsequent decision should you be found to be infringing the law in the future.

A Simple Caution is not a form of sentence (which only a court can impose), nor is it a criminal conviction. It is however an admission of guilt. The Simple Caution may also be cited should a Court subsequently find you guilty of an offence.

If you are in agreement with the proposed course of action I would be obliged if you will contact us by the 24<sup>th</sup> September 2010 to arrange a mutual time for you to come into this office so the Simple Caution can be administered. I look forward to hearing from you.

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)



Name of officer administering the caution: Mr Derek Pearce (020 8489 5264 – during office hrs)

Yours Sincerely,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Mr Mahmut Ozel

Copy to Mr Gokhan Yildirim

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)

**Enforcement Response – Frontline Services**

Units 271-272, Lee Valley Technopark, Ashley Road, London N17 9LN

Tel: 020 8489 1000 Fax: 020 8489 5133

[www.haringey.gov.uk/noise](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/noise)



Director of Urban Environment - Niall Bolger  
Assistant Director - Frontline Services – Beverley Taylor

**Haringey Council**

The Management  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Our ref: UE/ENF WK/000128730

Date: 16th September 2010

Contact: Enforcement Response Team  
020 8489 1000

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

**Re: Environmental Protection Act 1990 - Section 80 - Noise Nuisance**

**Address: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN**

**Type of noise: Loud Music**

The Council has a duty to investigate complaints regarding disturbance caused by excessive noise. Investigation, in response to a complaint made on 15/9/10 at 2244 hrs, has showed that noise coming from your premises was excessive.

The Council does not wish to restrict any private and reasonable activities. However, it does have a duty to ensure that all residents can enjoy a reasonable degree of privacy and freedom from disturbance by noise within their own homes.

The Council must serve a Noise Abatement Notice under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in cases of persistent or severe noise nuisance. The effect of a Noise Abatement Notice is to prohibit noise nuisance occurring or recurring, and it is an offence not to comply with such a Notice for which the maximum penalty on conviction is £20,000 in respect of commercial premises. Fixed penalty notices of £400 for commercial premises may be considered in appropriate circumstances.

We hope that you will co-operate in this matter by keeping the volume of sound produced in your premises at levels that do not cause noise nuisance in the future, and that formal action by the Council does not become necessary.

Yours faithfully,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Punch Taverns Plc.

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)

**Enforcement Response Team**  
Enforcement Service, Technopark Ashley Road London N17 9LN  
Tel: 020 8489 1000 Fax: 020 8489 5133  
[www.haringey.gov.uk/noise](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/noise)



Director Urban Environment Niall Bolger  
Head of Enforcement Robin Payne

**Haringey Council**

Mr Mahmut Ozel  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Date: 17th September 2010

Your ref:

Our ref: UE/ENFWK/000170515

Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Mr Ozel

Re: Licensing Offences: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
London Borough of Haringey v Yourself

The facts of the alleged offence reported to me by the case officer namely that on 15<sup>th</sup> September at 2340 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN was supplying alcohol and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

Having carefully considered these facts and the circumstances surrounding the alleged offence I have concluded that there are sufficient grounds to institute legal proceedings against you under the above mentioned Act.

However, on this occasion I am proposing to issue a Simple Caution in respect of the allegation. This course of action is subject to your agreement and admission of the alleged offence.

I must advise you that should you agree to accept a Simple Caution, a record will be kept of it at this office. The record of a Simple caution may influence any subsequent decision should you be found to be infringing the law in the future.

A Simple Caution is not a form of sentence (which only a court can impose), nor is it a criminal conviction. It is however an admission of guilt. The Simple Caution may also be cited should a Court subsequently find you guilty of an offence.

If you are in agreement with the proposed course of action I would be obliged if you will contact us by the 24<sup>th</sup> September 2010 to arrange a mutual time for you to come into this office so the Simple Caution can be administered. I look forward to hearing from you.

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)

Name of officer administering the caution: Mr Derek Pearce (020 8489 5264 – during office hrs)

Yours Sincerely,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Punch Taverns Plc  
Copy to Mr Gokhan Yildirim

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)

**Enforcement Response Team**  
Enforcement Service, Technopark Ashley Road London N17 9LN  
Tel: 020 8489 1000 Fax: 020 8489 5133  
[www.haringey.gov.uk/noise](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/noise)



Director Urban Environment Niall Bolger  
Head of Enforcement Robin Payne

**Haringey Council**

Mr Gokhan Yildirim  
Duke of Edinburgh  
83 Mayes Road  
Wood Green  
London  
N22 6TN

Date: 17th September 2010  
Your ref:  
Our ref: UE/ENFWK/000170515  
Email: [enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:enforcement.response@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Mr Yildirim

Re: Licensing Offences: Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road,  
Wood Green, London, N22 6TN  
London Borough of Haringey v Yourself

The facts of the alleged offence reported to me by the case officer namely that on 15<sup>th</sup> September at 2340 hrs the Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, Wood Green, London, N22 6TN was supplying alcohol and providing regulated entertainment and open beyond the hours stipulated on the premises licence, contrary to Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

Having carefully considered these facts and the circumstances surrounding the alleged offence I have concluded that there are sufficient grounds to institute legal proceedings against you under the above mentioned Act.

However, on this occasion I am proposing to issue a Simple Caution in respect of the allegation. This course of action is subject to your agreement and admission of the alleged offence.

I must advise you that should you agree to accept a Simple Caution, a record will be kept of it at this office. The record of a Simple caution may influence any subsequent decision should you be found to be infringing the law in the future.

A Simple Caution is not a form of sentence (which only a court can impose), nor is it a criminal conviction. It is however an admission of guilt. The Simple Caution may also be cited should a Court subsequently find you guilty of an offence.

If you are in agreement with the proposed course of action I would be obliged if you will contact us by the 24<sup>th</sup> September 2010 to arrange a mutual time for you to come into this office so the Simple Caution can be administered. I look forward to hearing from you.

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)

Name of officer administering the caution: Mr Derek Pearce (020 8489 5264 -- during office hrs)

Yours Sincerely,

(George Roberts)  
Enforcement Response Team

Copy to Punch Taverns Plc  
Copy to Mr Mahmut Ozel

If you would like to comment about the service you have received, please complete our online survey [www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations](http://www.haringey.gov.uk/enforcementconsultations)

## Barrett Daliah

---

**From:** Thomas Simon  
**Sent:** 24 July 2009 09:51  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** RE: Duke of Edinburgh Mayes Road

Thank you, you have answered my query.

Simon Thomas MCIEH

Tactical Enforcement Officer  
Commercial Environmental Health

Tel: 02084895566 / 07870157688  
Fax: 02084895528

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Barrett Daliah  
**Sent:** 24 July 2009 06:54  
**To:** Thomas Simon; Pearce Derek; Olson Kendra  
**Cc:** Osinaike Charley; Whitehouse Rebecca  
**Subject:** RE: Duke of Edinburgh Mayes Road

Simon

The consumption of alcohol is not a licensable activity. If there is nuisance arising from the use of the rear garden, then the licence can be reviewed, it would not be unreasonable to expect the public house to ensure that nuisance was kept to a minimum from an area under their control. The rear garden is not subject to times on the licence so again the review could also put times of use on that area. I do not think the garden was being used in the past to any significant degree.

We have received a compliant from a resident and the premises is now subject to proactive visits by Enforcement Response.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** "Thomas Simon" <[Simon.Thomas@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:Simon.Thomas@haringey.gov.uk)>  
**To:** "Barrett Daliah" <[Daliah.Barrett@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:Daliah.Barrett@haringey.gov.uk)>  
**Cc:** "Osinaike Charley" <[Charley.Osinaike@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:Charley.Osinaike@haringey.gov.uk)>; "Whitehouse Rebecca" <[Rebecca.Whitehouse@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:Rebecca.Whitehouse@haringey.gov.uk)>  
**Sent:** 23/07/09 11:21  
**Subject:** Duke of Edinburgh Mayes Road

Daliah

I visited the above premises as previously mentioned.

Are you aware that the premises uses the rear garden area as a Shisha Bar, they also allow patrons to consume alcohol in this area.

I am concerned that this area was previously not included in the license. Can you please confirm that this is ok.

In addition I am passing an enquiry onto Planning Enforcement to ensure that the large structure they have erected is ok.

Thanks

Simon Thomas MCIEH

Tactical Enforcement Officer

Commercial Environmental Health

Tel: 02084895566 / 07870157688

Fax: 02084895528



**DB2 : show the correspondence in finding a representative with whom we could raise concerns over the operation of the premises.**

## Barrett Daliah

---

**From:** Barrett Daliah  
**Sent:** 08 July 2014 10:39  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** RE: Duke Of edinburgh - Premises Licence

Dear Mr Forrester,

We recently sent a letter to the Premises License Holder of this premises requesting an appointment. The Premises License Holder is responsible for this license and needs to make themselves known to the Licensing Authority. We have been unable to make contact with this company and today Mr Yildirim has attended this office and shown me an email he has received from yourself asking him to attend the arranged meeting and report back to you. I am unclear at this point as to what your involvement is with the business. We require the director/s of the company that is specified as the Premises License Holder to make contact with the Licensing Authority. It is not sufficient that the Mr Yildirim who is named on the license and has the role of the DPS, that is he authorises the sale of alcohol on the premises attends meetings that relate to concerns being raised by residents over the operation of the premises and how it is affecting them. Such discussions need to be had with the Premises License Holder as there is the potential for the license to be Reviewed and the Licensing Committee and the Magistrates will require the Premises License Holders to be present.

In writing to you I must also make clear that it is an offence to not have the Summary license on display at the premises. I also note that you were able to send a scanned copy of the license to Mr Yildirim, but the original is required, which I am assuming you have access to?

Please can you advise on the matters raised?

Regards  
Daliah Barrett

---

**From:** Barrett Daliah  
**Sent:** 02 July 2014 22:04  
**To:** 'Mark.Forrester@Christo.co.uk'  
**Subject:** Fw: Duke Of edinburgh - Premises Licence

Dear Sir,

We are trying to locate the directors of the named company that the license is assigned to. Are you able to assist in this matter?

We do not require a copy of the license but we do require to have communication with the premises license holder named therein.

Regards  
Daliah Barrett  
Licensing Manager

---

**From:** Gokhan Yildirim [<mailto:gokhanyildirim@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 02, 2014 06:40 PM GMT Standard Time  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** Duke Of edinburgh - Premises Licence

Dear Sirs,

As per your request today I finally got in touch with actual people who own the premises licence via cristo&co. please find our updated premises license as attached.

regards

Gokhan Yildirim

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Forrester <[Mark.Forrester@Christo.co.uk](mailto:Mark.Forrester@Christo.co.uk)>

To: gokhanyildirim <[gokhanyildirim@aol.com](mailto:gokhanyildirim@aol.com)>

Sent: Wed, 2 Jul 2014 17:21

Subject: FW: Docorp Limited - Premises Licence

Please find attached the Premises Licence.

Kind regards

Mark Forrester

---

Mark A Forrester BSc(Hons) MRICS

Chartered Surveyor

Head of Property Management

Tel: 020 7482 0013

Fax: 020 7482 4441

Mob: 07973 836 563

E-mail: [Mark.Forrester@christo.co.uk](mailto:Mark.Forrester@christo.co.uk)

Internet: [www.christo.co.uk](http://www.christo.co.uk)

Christo & Co

66/70 Parkway

London

NW1 7AH

---

Christo & Co is the trading name of C Christo & Co Limited, a company registered in England & Wales. Registered Number 02813084

---

The information contained in this E-mail is private & confidential and intended for the person or entity to whom the e-mail is addressed. It may also be legally or professionally privileged. It is intended only for the stated addressees and access to it by any other person is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient any review, disclosure, distribution, copying or other use or taking of any action in reliance upon this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender/Christo & Co immediately by return or telephone and then delete the email completely from your systems. Whilst all efforts are made to safeguard emails, we cannot guarantee that attachments are virus free or compatible with your systems and so do not accept any liability whatsoever in respect of viruses or computer problems experienced.

---

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.

For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

## Barrett Daliah

---

**From:** Barrett Daliah [Daliah.Barrett@haringey.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 18 November 2014 14:28  
**To:** Shamil Patel  
**Subject:** FW: Duke of Edinburgh 83 Mayes Road London N22 6TN

Dear Ms Patel  
Please see below.  
Regards

Daliah Barrett  
Interim Regulatory Services Manager  
Community Safety & Regulatory Services

Haringey Council  
6th Floor, 10 Station Road, London, N22 7TR

T. 020 8489 8232  
M. 07870 154 126  
daliah.barrett@haringey.gov.uk

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)  
[twitter@haringeycouncil](https://twitter.com/haringeycouncil)  
[facebook.com/haringeycouncil](https://facebook.com/haringeycouncil)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

---

**From:** Barrett Daliah  
**Sent:** 18 November 2014 14:09  
**To:** 'Louisa Theodosiou'; 'Mark.Forrester@Christo.co.uk'  
**Subject:** RE: Duke of Edinburgh 83 Mayes Road London N22 6TN

Dear Mark/Louisa

Please note an invoice has been sent to Docorp Ltd in relation to the annual fee for the premises.

We also note that the business may have new people taking over or is it Mr Yildirim that is being placed back in the premises?

Please note that the authority is still pursuing a review of the license, with a view to asking the Licensing Sub Committee to consider reducing the current hours.

Regards

Daliah Barrett  
Interim Regulatory Services Manager  
Community Safety & Regulatory Services

Haringey Council  
6th Floor, 10 Station Road, London, N22 7TR

T. 020 8489 8232  
M. 07870 154 126  
[daliah.barrett@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:daliah.barrett@haringey.gov.uk)

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)  
[twitter@haringeycouncil](https://twitter.com/haringeycouncil)  
[facebook.com/haringeycouncil](https://facebook.com/haringeycouncil)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

**From:** Louisa Theodosiou [<mailto:Louisa.Theodosiou@Christo.co.uk>]  
**Sent:** 25 September 2014 11:58  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** Duke of Edinburgh 83 Mayes Road London N22 6TN

Dear Madam,

Please find attached correspondence from Mark Forrester which is being posted to you today.

Kind Regards,

Louisa Theodosiou  
Secretary to Mark Forrester BSc (Hons) MRICS



**Christo & Co**  
66/70 Parkway London NW1 7AH  
020 7482 1203 | Fax: 020 7482 4441



Christo & Co is the trading name of C Christo & Co Limited, a company registered in England & Wales. Registered Number 02813084

---

The information contained in this E-mail is private & confidential and intended for the person or entity to whom the e-mail is addressed. It may also be legally or professionally privileged. It is intended only for the stated addressees and access to it by any other person is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient any review, disclosure, distribution, copying or other use or taking of any action in reliance upon this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender/Christo & Co immediately by return or telephone and then delete the email completely from your systems. Whilst all efforts are made to safeguard emails, we cannot guarantee that attachments are virus free or compatible with your systems and so do not accept any liability whatsoever in respect of viruses or computer problems experienced.

---

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be subject to legal privilege and are intended only for the person(s) or organisation(s) to whom this email is addressed. Any unauthorised use, retention, distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the system administrator at Haringey Council immediately and delete this e-mail from your system. Although this e-mail and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect which might affect any computer or system into which they are received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure they are virus free and no responsibility is accepted for any loss or damage from receipt or use thereof. All communications sent to or from external third party organisations may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

**DB3: The letter and writ of possession are attached as**

Our Ref : SPA.SP.DOCO0001/0001  
Your Ref :  
Date : 21 August 2014



Daliah Barrett-Williams  
Licensing Team  
Haringey Council  
Unit 271-272 Lee Valley Technopark  
Ashley Road  
Tottenham  
London N17 6LN

By Email: [daliah.barrett@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:daliah.barrett@haringey.gov.uk)

Dear Sirs

Re. Licensing Act 2003 - Duke of Edinburgh, 83 Mayes Road, London N22

We represent the freeholder of the above property, Docorp Limited. We have been passed a copy of your letter of 4 August 2014.

We write to advise you that the leaseholder of the premises is, Mahmut Ozkan Ozel of 20 Edmeston Close, London E9 5TJ. He occupies the premises pursuant to a lease dated 14 July 2010.

Our client has commenced possession proceedings against him as a result of significant rent arrears and the lease is presently forfeit. The possession hearing has been listed to take place at the Edmonton County Court on 10 September 2014 at 10am.

We are hopeful that the Court will award a possession order in our client's favour and we will inform you as soon as the outcome is known.

In the meantime, should you require any further assistance or information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours faithfully

**YVA SOLICITORS LLP**  
Email: [sp@yvasolicitors.com](mailto:sp@yvasolicitors.com)  
Direct Fax: 020 8445 9199

YVA Solicitors LLP

YVA House  
811 High Road  
North Finchley  
London N12 8JT  
Tel: +44 (0)20 8445 9898  
Fax: +44 (0)20 8445 9199  
DX 152740 Finchley 3  
[www.yvasolicitors.com](http://www.yvasolicitors.com)



**Lexcel**  
Practice Management Standards  
Law Society Accredited

YVA Solicitors LLP  
Registered in England and Wales under registered  
number OC375084 and is authorised and regulated  
by The Solicitors Regulation Authority under  
practice number 570331. A list of members is  
available for inspection at the registered office.  
YVA House, 811 High Road, North Finchley,  
London N12 8JT

## WRIT OF POSSESSION

Take notice that these premises have been entered by High Court Enforcement Officers executing a High Court Writ, as a result the premises have been secured.

Any attempt by you or your agents to enter these premises will result in criminal / civil proceedings being instigated against you.

All persons with an interest in these premises must make their enquires in the first instance to the office of

### DIRECT COLLECTION BAILIFFS LIMITED

Winnington Avenue  
Winnington  
Northwich  
Cheshire  
CW8 4EE

01606 780 510

Signed: 

Date: 25.9.14

Reference: HCW2693EXPOSS



**DB4: complaints and concerns raised.**

## Barrett Daliah

---

**From:** Cousins-Martin Valerie  
**Sent:** 19 September 2014 11:19  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Cc:** ASBAT  
**Subject:** Duke of Edinburgh N22

**Importance:** High

Our Ref 91443

Referral:

Dear Daliah Barrett, Lead Officer Licensing,

Please accept the following referral reported to us by the resident below, this is the description of the anti social behaviour which is occurring outside Duke of Edinburgh.

Re:

- Resident reporting pub next door Duke of Edinburgh, around 100 or more people gather outside the pub, talking, shouting, yelling, vomiting, fighting almost every night, particularly weekends. Very noisy and disturbing as people also urinating near the pub, also taxi horn constantly.

Regards

Valerie Cousins-Martin  
Anti Social Behaviour/Assessment Admin Officer

T. 020 8489 1273  
[valerie.cousins-martin@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:valerie.cousins-martin@haringey.gov.uk)

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)  
[twitter@haringeycouncil](https://twitter.com/haringeycouncil)  
[facebook.com/haringeycouncil](https://facebook.com/haringeycouncil)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

## Barrett Daliah

---

**From:** Penny Caroline on behalf of Feedback  
**Sent:** 27 April 2015 15:25  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Cc:** Bagnall Michael; Shah Varsha  
**Subject:** Service Enquiry- LBH/4244915 Duke of Edinburgh Pub, Your ref Barn024/ts

Dear Colleagues,

Please accept our apologies for the late referral this is due to the backlog acquired during the Easter period. Michael, please liaise with Daliah.

**Please do not respond to Ms Featherstone as this is not an ME.**

Please send your response to Feedback by 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015.

**Please forward your response to Member Enquiry/Feedback inbox. Please note this case will not appear on the weekly or daily report.**

Thank you

Caroline Penny  
Service Officer  
Customer Services  
Haringey Council  
River Park House, 225 High Road, London N22 8HQ

T. 020 8489 1000  
[feedback@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:feedback@haringey.gov.uk)

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)  
[twitter@haringeycouncil](https://twitter.com/haringeycouncil)  
[facebook.com/haringeycouncil](https://facebook.com/haringeycouncil)

---

**From:** No Reply RBCS  
**Sent:** 27 April 2015 15:17  
**To:** 'lynne.featherstone.2nd@parliament.uk'  
**Subject:** Your email Duke of Edinburgh Pub, Your ref Barn024/ts

Dear Ms Featherstone

Your email concerning: Duke of Edinburgh Pub Our Reference Number **LBH/4244915**

Thank you for your email, which was received on 23 April 2015. Please accept our sincere apologies for the delay in our response. I have forwarded your letter to the appropriate service for their information.

Where we have the details, we will ensure a reply is made to the constituent.

Kind regards

Caroline Penny  
Service Officer  
Customer Services  
Haringey Council

River Park House, 225 High Road, London N22 8HQ

T. 020 8489 1000  
[feedback@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:feedback@haringey.gov.uk)

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)  
[twitter@haringeycouncil](https://twitter.com/haringeycouncil)  
[facebook.com/haringeycouncil](https://facebook.com/haringeycouncil)

**From:** Lynne Featherstone [<mailto:lynne.featherstone@outlook.com>]

**Sent:** 23 April 2015 10:45

**To:** [victor.olisa@met.pnn.police.uk](mailto:victor.olisa@met.pnn.police.uk); Frontline

**Subject:** Re: Duke of Edinburgh Pub, our ref Barn024/ts

Dear Victor and Haringey Council,

I am writing on behalf of a local resident who has contacted me with regards to the Duke of Edinburgh pub in Wood Green.

I have copied the resident's email below for your reference, as I believe it is self explanatory.

I would be most grateful if you could investigate this, comment on the specific concerns raised, and let me know what will be done to resolve these issues.

Thank you in advance for your kind attention in this regard.

Kind regards,

**Lynne Featherstone**  
Liberal Democrat - Hornsey and Wood Green

Stay in touch with Lynne: [Lynne@LynneFeatherstone.org](mailto:Lynne@LynneFeatherstone.org) - [Facebook.com/LynneFeatherstone](https://Facebook.com/LynneFeatherstone) - [Twitter.com/lfeatherstone](https://Twitter.com/lfeatherstone)

**From:**

**To:** [lynne.featherstone.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:lynne.featherstone.mp@parliament.uk)

**CC:**

**Subject:** RE: Complaint About The Duke Of Edinburgh Pub(Ref: Barn024/jd)

Dear Lynne,

Thank you for your correspondents on the 23rd of July 2014. I would like to update you with what has been happening on our road since then.

The duke of Edinburgh pub was repossessed in 2014. We had peace on our road for the first time in a long time. It then reopened shortly before the Christmas in 2014. To my surprise it was the same owners that reopened the pub. This was a bitter disappointment as these people have shown that they were not responsible enough to own this business.

Please can you ask on my behalf to the police licensing Team is, why did they give a licence to these people that have shown a lack of respect for law and order and that they do not take their responsibilities seriously. They don't even turn up to a meeting that is call by the police as i read in one report by the police which is disgraceful and is not something that a responsible legit business owner would do !

Two Sundays ago there was a stabbing outside the Pub of the Duke of Edinburgh. I sincerely hope that the man that got stabbed is OK. This was shocking that this stabbing had took place on our road.

I had pre warn about this as is well documented in my correspondence, how the management of this Pub does not take their duty of care seriously and that someone may even be kill as what nearly happen the other night.

Last Saturday night about 9 young men had left the pub and one decided to rev up his engine continuously and use his car for racing up and down the road, not one member the pub staff came out to ask these men to calm down and go home quietly. I had to go out and ask them to stop this action as it was waking up the hole road and putting peoples lives at risk. Again i find this totally unacceptable.

Please can you send me an update of the report you sent me on the 23rd July 2014, as i don't think my complaint is being taken seriously and i will not wait for someone to be kill because of a unwillingness to take action.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

## **Barrett Daliah**

---

**From:** Malcolm Eubert  
**Sent:** 22 April 2014 12:08  
**To:** Cllr Strickland Alan  
**Cc:** Baker Richard; Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** RE: Duke of Edinburgh pub Our Reference Number: LBH/2932014

Dear Councillor Strickland

Your enquiry about Duke of Edinburgh pub on Mayes Road  
Ref : LBH/2932014

Thank you for your enquiry. You are enquiring into concerns raised by residents in relation to the Duke of Edinburgh pub in Mayes Road N22.

I have checked the Councils records and can confirm that one anonymous caller had contacted the Licensing Department after speaking with you a couple weeks ago. At the time the caller expressed her fear of giving her details and questioned why nothing had been done to curb the anti-social behaviour she claimed to be taking place at the pub. We advised that we had not received any complaints regarding the premises.

On receipt of your enquiry, we asked the Police Licensing officer to check on any incidents that required police intervention at the premises. We have now had a brief report from the police and we are able to confirm the following: In the last six months there have been 13 crimes at the premises, eight of these are crimes that would have been bordering on public disorder type of behaviour.

Having now seen the information held by the Police, I am discussing with the Police licensing officer the issue of reviewing the license with a view to cutting back the hours of operation at the premises. I do not have the full report of each crime and it is important that we establish that the premises is at fault in each circumstance and that we are clear that the behaviour reported can be attributed to the premises alone.

It is our intention that the license holder will be asked to attend for an interview and discussion as a first step in putting in place measures to try and deal with the problems occurring. We will keep you informed of the progress.

I hope that you are satisfied with my response to your enquiry. If you have any follow-up queries or need more information, please contact the Feedback and Information Governance Team in the first instance.

Yours sincerely,

Eubert Malcolm  
Regulatory Services Manager

Haringey Council  
River Park House, 225 High Road, London N22 8HQ

T. 020 8489 5520  
[eubert.malcolm@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:eubert.malcolm@haringey.gov.uk)

[www.haringey.gov.uk](http://www.haringey.gov.uk)  
twitter@haringeycouncil  
facebook.com/haringeycouncil

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cllr Strickland Alan  
Sent: 11 April 2014 14:52  
To: MemberEnquiries  
Subject: Duke of Edinburgh pub

Dear officer,

I have received a complaint from residents living near the Duke of Edinburgh pub on Mayes Road, Wood Green.

Residents complained that there is often trouble- shouting, screaming, fights- at the pub when it closes at 3am on Fridays and Saturdays.

They report these problems occurring over the last 6 months.

They also report the police attending incidents, but that often by the time the police arrive the problem has largely dissipated. The problems do not often last for a long time but are very disruptive and regularly wake up neighbouring households.

Please could the licensing team look into this issue- I would like to know whether licensing and the local police have received similar complaints and what can be done to look properly into this reported problem

Thanks

Alan

Councillor Alan Strickland  
Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Housing Haringey Council

Tel: 02084892966  
Mobile: 07854481050  
Twitter: @alanstrickland

Sent from my Blackberry

## **Barrett Daliah**

---

**From:** Mark.L.Greaves@met.pnn.police.uk  
**Sent:** 24 June 2014 09:39  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** RE: Complaint About The Duke Of Edinburgh Pub

Hi Dale

See below. Original CAD did not mention a lot of what mentioned on Crimint and Crimint not sent to me or marked Licensing so I would see it.

Shall we do a joint visit and meet DPS. We can then view CCTV for previous month looking at closing times but do not tell him in advance. We also need to sort out Licensees details, apart from a foreign company.

CAD: 1092 / 21st Jun 14

On SATURDAY 21/06/2014 Police were called to MAYES ROAD N22 by MOP who stated that there were a large group at the location drunk and shouting.

On Police arrival a group of people could be seen on MAYES ROAD N22 outside the PH, however on turning into the COBURG ROAD N22 a further group of males could be seen. These males appeared to be attempting to get into the SHISHA BAR behind the PH.

However with Police presence it appeared that door staff at the venue were refusing the males entry. While present a heated verbal altercation broke out between some females on MAYES RD, N22. While Police were intervening with these females, we were informed by one of the groups that there was a fight taking place around the corner outside the SHISHA BAR on COBURG RD, N22.

Police made their way back around the corner where there was a large of approximately thirty (30) males. This group of males appeared to be split into two (2) groups and appeared very agitated towards one another. One (1) of the group of males appeared to be mainly IC3 males while the other group appeared to be mainly IC2 males. One of the males present and appearing to be a ring leader of the IC3 group of males was later identified as being:-

More units were requested to the area due to the amount of people present, to help disperse them. No offences were alleged or apparent by any person present, and once the group was dispersed no further incidents occurred at the location.

**Mark Greaves PC 164YR  
Licensing / CPDA / Crime Prevention  
Haringey Borough Police**

**Tel: 020 3276 0150**

**This Message is Restricted/Confidential  
If Printed please remember to dispose of as Confidential Waste**

---

**From:** Barrett Daliah [mailto:Daliah.Barrett@haringey.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 24 June 2014 08:51  
**To:** Greaves Mark L - YR  
**Subject:** FW: Complaint About The Duke Of Edinburgh Pub  
**Importance:** High



Did I send you this?

**From:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Sent:** 23 June 2014 15:55  
**To:** Barrett Dallah  
**Subject:** RE: Complaint About The Duke Of Edinburgh Pub  
**Importance:** High

Dear Daliah,

Thank you for talking with me today about my complaint , just to confirm from our conversation that we discuss my complaint about the Duke of Edinburgh Pub on Coburg Road, about the loud noise from the Pub, the gangs that gather their, the fight that happen on friday 20/06/2014 in the early hours of the morning which took about 11 Police Officers to disperse the crowds which seems to be well over the limit of people, people drinking alcohol on the street from the pub and smoking their drugs on private property. Cars pressing their horns in the early hours of the morning and playing loud music from their cars, and large groups just hanging around on the streets when the pub is closed, shouting from the top of their voices with no regards for the neighbors. Cars blocking our drive ways from pub customers, playing loud music and smoking their drugs from their cars. I had to go out on a number of occasions to ask them not to block our drive ways and i have been to the pub on a number of occasions telling my concerns to the management, but this has fallen on deaf ears.

I look forward to your response,

Best regards,

**Total Policing is the Met's commitment to be on the streets and in your communities to catch offenders, prevent crime and support victims. We are here for London, working with you to make our capital safer.**

*Consider our environment - please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.*

**NOTICE - This email and any attachments may be confidential, subject to copyright and/or legal privilege and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the information in this email without the permission of the sender. MPS communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law. Consequently, any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of the MPS by email. The MPS accepts no responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. Email messages are routinely scanned but malicious software infection and corruption of content can still occur during transmission over the Internet. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).**

Find us at:

Facebook: [Facebook.com/metpoliceuk](https://www.facebook.com/metpoliceuk)

## **Barrett Daliah**

---

**From:**  
**Sent:** 23 June 2014 15:55  
**To:** Barrett Daliah  
**Subject:** RE: Complaint About The Duke Of Edinburgh Pub  
**Importance:** High

Dear Daliah,

Thank you for talking with me today about my complaint , just to confirm from our conversation that we discuss my complaint about the Duke of Edinburgh Pub on Coburg Road, about the loud noise from the Pub, the gangs that gather their, the fight that happen on friday 20/06/2014 in the early hours of the morning which took about 11 Police Officers to disperse the crowds which seems to be well over the limit of people, people drinking alcohol on the street from the pub and smoking their drugs on private property. Cars pressing their horns in the early hours of the morning and playing loud music from their cars, and large groups just hanging around on the streets when the pub is closed, shouting from the top of their voices with no regards for the neighbors. Cars blocking our drive ways from pub customers, playing loud music and smoking their drugs from their cars. I had to go out on a number of occasions to ask them not to block our drive ways and i have been to the pub on a number of occasions telling my concerns to the management, but this has fallen on deaf ears.

I look forward to your response,

Best regards,

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---